

Cheeger constants and L^2 -Betti numbers

Lewis Bowen*

University of Texas at Austin

May 17, 2013

Abstract

We prove the existence of positive lower bounds on the Cheeger constants of manifolds of the form X/Γ where X is a contractible Riemannian manifold and $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$ is a discrete subgroup, typically with infinite co-volume. The existence depends on the L^2 -Betti numbers of Γ , its subgroups and of a uniform lattice of $\text{Isom}(X)$. As an application, we show the existence of a uniform positive lower bound on the Cheeger constant of any manifold of the form \mathbb{H}^4/Γ where \mathbb{H}^4 is real hyperbolic 4-space and $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^4)$ is discrete and isomorphic to a subgroup of the fundamental group of a complete finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold. Via Patterson-Sullivan theory, this implies the existence of a uniform positive upper bound on the Hausdorff dimension of the conical limit set of such a Γ when Γ is geometrically finite. Another application shows the existence of a uniform positive lower bound on the zero-th eigenvalue of the Laplacian of \mathbb{H}^n/Γ over all discrete free groups $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ whenever $n \geq 4$ is even (the bound depends on n). This extends results of Phillips-Sarnak and Doyle who obtained such bounds for $n \geq 3$ when Γ is a finitely generated Schottky group.

Keywords: Cheeger constant, L^2 Betti numbers, hyperbolic manifold

MSC:57S30

*email:lpbowen@math.utexas.edu

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Outline	7
2	Benjamini-Schramm convergence of simplicial complexes	8
3	Metric measure spaces	11
4	A variant of Elek’s Theorem	12
4.0.1	A brief outline	13
4.0.2	Pointed mm-spaces with a weighted discrete set	14
4.0.3	Random discrete subsets of mm-spaces	14
5	L^2-Betti numbers	23
6	Unimodular measures	23
7	Proof of Theorem 1.2	27
8	Applications	36
A	Pointed subsets and measures of a metric space	39
B	Metric measure spaces	42

1 Introduction

The Cheeger constant of a smooth Riemannian manifold M is defined by

$$h(M) := \inf \frac{\text{area}(\partial M_0)}{\text{vol}(M_0)}$$

where the infimum is over all smooth compact submanifolds $M_0 \subset M$ with $\text{vol}(M_0) \leq \text{vol}(M)/2$. For most of the paper we will be applying the Cheeger constant to infinite volume manifolds in which case the infimum in the formula above is over all smooth compact submanifolds. In this case, we could call $h(M)$ the *Følner constant* instead of the Cheeger

constant. For example, if M has infinite volume then $h(M) = 0$ if and only if M is amenable. This paper is motivated by the following general problem:

Problem 1.1. *Given a contractible smooth Riemannian manifold X and a family \mathcal{F} of abstract groups let $I(X|\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\Gamma} h(X/\Gamma)$ where the infimum is over all $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$ such that*

- Γ acts freely and properly discontinuously on X ;
- Γ is isomorphic to a group in \mathcal{F} .

Compute $I(X|\mathcal{F})$ for interesting special cases (e.g., when X is real hyperbolic n -space \mathbb{H}^n and \mathcal{F} is the class of free groups). We are especially interesting in knowing whether $I(X|\mathcal{F}) = 0$.

For example, let Free denote the class of free groups. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a free group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ such that the compact core of \mathbb{H}^2/Γ is a pair of pants with geodesic boundary components each of length ϵ . The compact core has area 2π but \mathbb{H}^2/Γ has infinite area. It follows that $h(\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma) \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{2\pi}$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, $I(\mathbb{H}^2|\text{Free}) = 0$. Likewise, $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ admits a nonuniform lattice isomorphic to the fundamental group of a fiber bundle over the circle so that the fundamental group of the fiber surface is a rank 2 free subgroup Λ of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ with $h(\mathbb{H}^3/\Lambda) = 0$. So $I(\mathbb{H}^3|\text{Free}) = 0$. The exact value of $I(\mathbb{H}^n|\text{Free})$ is unknown for $n > 3$. It is not even known whether $I(\mathbb{H}^n|\text{Free})$ is monotone in n .

To explain our main result it is convenient to introduce the following definitions.

Definition 1. Given a Riemannian manifold X and $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$, we say that Γ is *geometric* if the action of Γ on X is free and properly discontinuous. This ensures that X/Γ is a manifold and the quotient map $X \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ is a cover.

Definition 2. Let us say that a residually finite countable group Γ has *asymptotically vanishing lower d -th Betti number* if

$$\liminf_N \frac{b_d(N)}{[\Gamma : N]} = 0$$

where the \liminf is with respect to the net of finite-index normal subgroups $N \triangleleft \Gamma$ ordered by reverse inclusion. Equivalently, this holds if for every $\epsilon > 0$, for every finite-index normal subgroup $N \triangleleft \Gamma$ there exists a subgroup $N' < N$ such that N' is normal and has finite-index

in Γ and $\frac{b_d(N')}{[\Gamma:N']} < \epsilon$. For example, if Γ has a finite classifying space then Γ has asymptotically vanishing lower d -th Betti number if and only if $b_d^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ by Lück approximation's Theorem [Lu94] (where $b_d^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ denotes the d -dimensional L^2 -Betti number of Γ).

Our main result is:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a smooth contractible complete Riemannian manifold. Let \mathcal{G}_d be the class of all residually finite countable groups Γ such that every finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ has asymptotically vanishing lower d -th Betti number. Suppose there is a residually finite geometric subgroup $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(X)$ such that X/Λ is compact and $b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda) > 0$. Then $I(X|\mathcal{G}_d) > 0$.*

This is derived from a more general result (Theorem 7.1) concerning metric measure spaces. In general, it appears to be a difficult problem to determine whether a given group is in \mathcal{G}_d . However, we show in Proposition 8.2 below that if Γ is the fundamental group of a complete finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_d$ for all $d > 1$ (and the same holds for every subgroup of Γ). Using this we obtain:

Corollary 1.3. *If $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is geometric and isomorphic with a subgroup of the fundamental group of a complete finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold, and $n \geq 4$ is an even integer then $h(\mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma) \geq I(\mathbb{H}^n|\mathcal{G}_{n/2}) > 0$. In particular, $I(\mathbb{H}^n|Free) > 0$ for every even integer $n \geq 4$.*

Observe that we do not require the group Γ to be finitely generated in the result above.

Instead of the Cheeger constant, one might be interested in the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplace operator of a smooth Riemannian manifold M , which we denote by $\lambda_0(M)$. By [Ch69]

$$h(M)^2/4 \leq \lambda_0(M). \tag{1}$$

More precisely, Cheeger proved (1) when M is compact but it is well-known that it generalizes to the noncompact case.

In order to compare Corollary 1.3 with previous results, recall that a *classical Schottky group* is a subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ generated by elements g_1, \dots, g_m such that there exist pairwise disjoint conformally round balls B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m and B'_1, B'_2, \dots, B'_m in the sphere

at infinity $S^{n-1} = \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ such that $g_i(S^{n-1}/B'_i) = \text{int}(B_i)$ for every i . Phillips and Sarnak [PS85, Theorem 5.4] showed that for every $n \geq 4$ there is a constant $f_n > 0$ such that if Γ is any classical Schottky subgroup of $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ then $\lambda_0(\mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma) \geq f_n$ where λ_0 denotes the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplace operator. This result was extended by Doyle [Do88] to $n = 3$. No such bound exists for $n = 2$.

Classical Schottky groups are free groups so it makes sense to ask whether these results hold for free groups more generally. Corollary 1.3 and (1) show that indeed $\lambda_0(\mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma) \geq I(\mathbb{H}^n|\mathcal{G}_{n/2})^2/4 > 0$ whenever $n \geq 4$ is even and Γ is a free group.

Instead of the Cheeger constant or λ_0 , one might be interested in the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set. The limit set $L\Gamma$ of a subgroup $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is the intersection of the sphere at infinity $S^{n-1} = \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ with the closure of Γx for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Let $\text{HD}(L\Gamma)$ denote the Hausdorff dimension of $L\Gamma$. In [Su87, Theorem 2.21], Sullivan shows that if $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is geometrically finite without cusps and $\text{HD}(L\Gamma) \geq (n-1)/2$ then

$$\lambda_0(\mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma) = (n-1-\text{HD}(L\Gamma))\text{HD}(L\Gamma). \quad (2)$$

(Our definition of λ_0 differs from the definition in [Su87] by a sign). If Γ is merely geometrically finite then this result holds with the limit set replaced by the conical limit set by [BJ97, Corollary 2.6] and [Su87, Theorem 2.17]. These results have been generalized to other rank 1 symmetric spaces in [CI99]. From Corollary 1.3 and (1) we now obtain:

Corollary 1.4. *For every integer $n \geq 2$, there exists a number $d_{2n} < 2n-1$ such that if $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{2n})$ is a geometrically finite discrete group isomorphic to a subgroup of the fundamental group of a finite-volume complete hyperbolic 3-manifold then the Hausdorff dimension of the conical limit set of Λ is at most d_{2n} .*

This corollary partially solves [Ka08, Problem 10.27, page 530]. Let us mention in passing that the survey [Ka08] is a rich source for examples and open problems about Kleinian groups in higher dimensions.

It is a long-standing open problem to determine whether there exists a closed real hyperbolic 4-manifold M that fibers over a surface with fiber a surface. Recently U. Hamenstädt has proven that no such manifold exists if both base and fiber are closed [Ha13]. However, the other cases remain open. If there is such a manifold, then the universal cover \widetilde{M} is

naturally identifiable with hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^4 and therefore the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ can be represented as a lattice in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^4)$. Moreover, the fundamental group of a fiber surface can be represented as a discrete group $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^4)$. This group is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a surface. So Corollary 1.3 implies $h(\mathbb{H}^4/\Lambda) > 0$. Because Λ is a normal subgroup of a lattice, its limit set is the entire 3-sphere boundary of \mathbb{H}^4 . However, it is not geometrically finite. It might seem reasonable, by analogy with the 3-dimensional case, to suspect that by deforming Λ slightly (or by passing to a subgroup), it should be possible to find, for every $\epsilon > 0$, a geometrically finite discrete group $\Lambda' < SO(4, 1)$ such that the Hausdorff dimension of the conical limit set of Λ' is at least $3 - \epsilon$ and Λ' is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a compact surface. Corollary 1.4 implies this intuition is incorrect.

Question 1. Let Surface denote the class of fundamental groups of closed surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$. Is $I(\mathbb{H}^4|\text{Surface})$ realized? In other words, does there exist a geometric surface group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^4)$ such that $h(\mathbb{H}^4/\Gamma) = I(\mathbb{H}^4|\text{Surface})$? Suppose that there exists a closed hyperbolic 4-manifold which fibers over a surface and Γ is the fundamental group of the fiber surface. Then is it true that $h(\mathbb{H}^4/\Gamma) = I(\mathbb{H}^4|\text{Surface})$? This question admits natural variations by replacing the Cheeger constant with λ_0 or $\text{HD}(L\Gamma)$ for example.

Question 2. Is $I(\mathbb{H}^n|\text{Free}) > 0$ when n is odd? Does the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\mathbb{H}^n|\text{Free})$ exist? If so, is it positive?

Remark 1. Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4 can be generalized to complex hyperbolic space (by using [Lu02, Theorem 5.12] and Proposition 8.2 below). In fact, complex-hyperbolic manifolds are always even dimensional and it is known that the L^2 -Betti number of a lattice acting complex hyperbolic space does not vanish in the middle dimension. Therefore, we obtain $I(\mathbb{CH}^n|\mathcal{G}_n) > 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Remark 2. There are stronger results for quaternionic hyperbolic space and the octonionic hyperbolic plane because the isometry groups of these spaces have property (T) [Co90, CI99]. In fact it is known that if Γ is a geometrically finite subgroup of the isometry group of one of these spaces but Γ is not a lattice then there is a nontrivial lower bound on the codimension of the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set of Γ which does not depend on Γ . The analogous statement for real or complex hyperbolic n -space is false [Ka08] essentially because there exist lattices which surject onto infinite amenable groups.

Remark 3. It is an open question whether Theorem 1.2 holds without the residual finiteness assumptions (either on Λ or \mathcal{G}_d). However, in many interesting cases $\text{Isom}(X)$ is linear and therefore, by Mal'cev's Theorem, every finitely generated subgroup of $\text{Isom}(X)$ is residually finite.

Remark 4. It might be possible to obtain an explicit bound on $I(\mathbb{H}^{2n}|\mathcal{G}_n)$ from the proof of Theorem 1.2 and the results of [El10] which show Betti numbers are testable.

1.1 Outline

We begin by explaining Benjamini-Schramm convergence of simplicial complexes in §2. The highlight of this section is G. Elek's result: if $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Benjamini-Schramm-convergent sequence of finite connected simplicial complexes then the normalized Betti numbers of $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converge as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This result is the key to the whole proof. In §3 we review metric measure spaces, deferring the proofs to the appendix. We generalize G. Elek's result in §4 to sequences of metric measure spaces following an outline provided by G. Elek in the closed Riemannian manifold case [El12]. §5 reviews L^2 -Betti numbers and §6 provides some tools from proving Benjamini-Schramm convergence.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is in §7. Here is a brief and rough outline. It suffices to prove the contrapositive: that if $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of residually finite geometric subgroups of $\text{Isom}(X)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h(X/\Gamma_i) \rightarrow 0$ then for all but finitely many i there exist subgroups $\Gamma'_i < \Gamma_i$ such that $b_d^{(2)}(\Gamma'_i) > 0$. We are assuming the existence of a residually finite uniform lattice $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(X)$ with $b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda) > 0$. We use a lemma due to Buser to find compact smooth submanifolds $M_i \subset X/\Gamma_i$ such that for every $r > 0$ the ratio $\frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M_i))}{\text{vol}(M_i)}$ tends to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$ where $N_r(\partial M_i)$ denotes the radius r neighborhood of the boundary of M_i . After passing to a subgroup of Γ_i if necessary, we can also require that M_i has “no short homotopically nontrivial loops”. From these results we conclude that $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ “Benjamini-Schramm converges to X ”. So our generalization of Elek's result implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(M_i)}{\text{vol}(M_i)} = \frac{b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda)}$ where $b_d(M_i)$ denotes the ordinary d -th Betti number of M_i .

The Mayer-Vietoris sequence is employed to show (roughly speaking) that the normalized Betti numbers $\frac{b_d(M_i)}{\text{vol}(M_i)}$ are asymptotically bounded by the normalized Betti numbers of Γ_i . Lück approximation and residual finiteness allow us to replace ordinary Betti numbers with

L^2 -Betti numbers and to compare these limits with the L^2 -Betti numbers of the lattice Λ , proving Theorem 1.2. In the last section §8, we use treeability, almost treeability and well-known results about L^2 Betti numbers of hyperbolic lattices to obtain Corollaries 1.4 and 1.3 from Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Miklos Abért for an excellent lecture on L^2 -Betti numbers, to Gábor Elek for sharing a rough draft of a proof of the closed manifold case of Theorem 4.1 below and to Naser Zadeh for pointing out errors in previous versions. Part of this work was inspired by discussions and talks at the AIM workshop “ L^2 invariants and their relatives for finitely generated groups” in Palo Alto, CA September 2011. The author is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0968762 and NSF CAREER Award DMS-0954606.

2 Benjamini-Schramm convergence of simplicial complexes

A *rooted simplicial complex* is a pair (K, v) where K is a simplicial complex and v is a vertex of K . We say (K_1, v_1) and (K_2, v_2) are *root-isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism from K_1 to K_2 which takes v_1 to v_2 . We let $[K, v]$ denote the root-isomorphism class of (K, v) .

Let RSC denote the set of all root-isomorphism classes of connected rooted locally finite simplicial complexes.

We define a topology on RSC as follows. Given a finite rooted simplicial complex (L, w) and an integer $r > 0$, let $U_r(L, w) \subset \text{RSC}$ be the set of all $[K, v] \in \text{RSC}$ such that the closed ball of radius r centered at v in K is root-isomorphic to (L, w) . Here we are employing a standard convention: the closed ball of radius r is the subcomplex consisting of all simplices σ in K with the property that every vertex v' of σ is of distance at most r from v with respect to the path metric on the 1-skeleton of K .

We give RSC a topology by declaring each $U_r(L, w)$ to be a closed set. For $\Delta > 0$ let $\text{RSC}(\Delta) \subset \text{RSC}$ denote the set of all root-isomorphism classes of connected rooted simplicial complexes $[K, v]$ so that every vertex of K has degree at most Δ . With the subspace topology, $\text{RSC}(\Delta)$ is compact and metrizable. Moreover, each $U_r(L, w) \cap \text{RSC}(\Delta)$ is a clopen subset of $\text{RSC}(\Delta)$.

Definition 3. In general, if X is a topological space, we let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the space of all Borel measures on X with the weak* topology. Therefore a sequence $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ converges to an element $\lambda_\infty \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ if and only if: for every compactly supported continuous function $f \in C(X)$, $\int f d\lambda_i$ converges to $\int f d\lambda_\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Also let $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ denote the subspace of Borel probability measures on X .

Given a finite connected simplicial complex K , let $\mu_K \in \mathcal{M}_1(\text{RSC})$ denote

$$\mu_K = \frac{1}{|V(K)|} \sum_{v \in V(K)} \delta_{[K,v]}$$

where $V(K)$ denotes the set of vertices of K and $\delta_{[K,v]}$ denotes the Dirac probability measure concentrated on $[K, v] \in \text{RSC}$.

A sequence of finite connected simplicial complexes $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is *BS-convergent* (*Benjamini-Schramm convergent*) if the sequence $\{\mu_{K_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges in the weak* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(\text{RSC})$. In the special case in which there is a uniform degree bound Δ on the K_i 's, this means that for every finite $(L, w) \in \text{RSC}$ and every $r > 0$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{K_i}(U_r(L, w))$ exists. The graph-theoretic version of this notion was introduced in [BS01]. The next lemma is crucial to our entire approach.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Delta > 0$ and $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of finite connected simplicial complexes such that every vertex of every K_i has degree at most Δ . If $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is BS-convergent then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(K_i)}{|V(K_i)|}$ exists for any $d \geq 0$ where $b_d(K_i)$ denotes the ordinary d -th Betti number of K_i .*

Proof. This is [El10, Lemma 6.1]. □

The next lemma is a generalization of the above to convex sums of finite connected simplicial complexes.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{M}_1(\text{RSC}(\Delta))$ be a convergent sequence in the weak* topology. In addition, assume that for each i there exist finite connected simplicial complexes $K_{i,1}, \dots, K_{i,m_i}$ and positive real numbers $t_{i,1}, \dots, t_{i,m_i}$ such that*

$$\eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} t_{i,j} \mu_{K_{i,j}}.$$

Suppose as well that there exist natural numbers N_i such that $|V(K_{i,j})| \geq N_i$ for all i, j and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N_i = +\infty$. Then for any $d \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} t_{i,j} b_d(K_{i,j})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} t_{i,j} |V(K_{i,j})|}$$

exists.

Proof. By approximating the coefficients $t_{i,j}$ by rational numbers, we see that it suffices to prove the special case in which each $t_{i,j}$ is a rational number, which we now assume. Let $D_i > 0$ be a natural number such that $D_i t_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all i, j .

Let $K_{i,j}^{(1)}, \dots, K_{i,j}^{(D_i t_{i,j})}$ be disjoint complexes each of which is isomorphic to $K_{i,j}$. Let $v_{i,j}^k$ be a vertex of $K_{i,j}^{(k)}$. Let L_i be the disjoint union of $K_{i,j}^{(k)}$ over all $1 \leq k \leq D_i t_{i,j}$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_i$. Let L'_i be the smallest complex containing L_i such that there is an edge in L'_i from $v_{i,j}^k$ to $v_{i,j}^{k+1}$ for all $1 \leq k < D_i t_{i,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i$ and an edge from $v_{i,j}^{D_i t_{i,j}}$ to $v_{i,j+1}^1$ for all $1 \leq j < m_i$. Then L'_i is a connected complex with vertex degree bound $\Delta + 2$. Moreover,

$$b_d(L'_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} D_i t_{i,j} b_d(K_{i,j}), \quad |V(L'_i)| = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} D_i t_{i,j} |V(K_{i,j})|$$

which implies

$$b_d(L'_i)/|V(L'_i)| = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} t_{i,j} b_d(K_{i,j})}{\sum_{j=1}^{m_i} t_{i,j} |V(K_{i,j})|}.$$

So it suffices to show that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_d(L'_i)/|V(L'_i)|$ exists. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that $\{L'_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is BS-convergent.

Let (A, a) be a finite rooted simplicial complex, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and, as above, let $U_r(A, a) \subset \text{RSC}$ be the set of all $[K, v] \in \text{RSC}$ such that the closed ball of radius r centered at v in K is root-isomorphic to (A, a) . It suffices to show that $\mu_{L'_i}(U_r(A, a))$ converges as $i \rightarrow \infty$. However, we observe that $|\mu_{L'_i}(U_r(A, a)) - \eta_i(U_r(A, a))| \leq 2|X_i|/|V(L'_i)|$ where $X_i \subset V(L'_i)$ is the set of vertices at distance $\leq r$ from the set $\{v_{i,j}^k\}_{j,k} \subset V(L'_i)$. Since $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is convergent by hypothesis, it suffices to show that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |X_i|/|V(L'_i)| = 0$.

Because the vertex degrees of L'_i are bounded by $\Delta + 2$, it follows that

$$|X_i| \leq (\Delta + 2)^r |\{v_{i,j}^k\}_{j,k}| \leq (\Delta + 2)^r \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} D_i t_{i,j}.$$

On the other hand,

$$|V(L'_i)| = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} D_i t_{i,j} |V(K_{i,j})| \geq N_i \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} D_i t_{i,j}.$$

So

$$\frac{|X_i|}{|V(L'_i)|} \leq (\Delta + 2)^r / N_i$$

which implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |X_i|/|V(L'_i)| = 0$ as required. \square

3 Metric measure spaces

In §4 we generalize Elek's Theorem (Lemma 2.1 above) by replacing the space of rooted simplicial complexes with the space of pointed metric measure spaces. In this section, we present the basic definitions and results we will need. The standard reference for this subject is [Gr99]. Our definition of mm^n -spaces, given below, and the topology on \mathbb{M}^n appears to be non-standard (at least we did not find it in the literature). We should also mention that Benjamini-Schramm convergence of random length spaces first appeared in [AB+12]. Our notion is similar, although not exactly the same.

Definition 4. An *mm-space* (or metric measure space) is a triple $(M, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ where (M, dist_M) is a complete separable proper metric space and vol_M is a Radon measure on M . We will usually denote such a space by M leaving dist_M and vol_M implicit. A *pointed metric measure space* is a quadruple $(M, p, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ where $(M, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ is an mm-space and $p \in M$. More generally, a *pointed mm^n -space* is an $(n+3)$ -tuple $(M, p, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M^{(1)}, \dots, \text{vol}_M^{(n)})$ where (M, dist_M) is a complete separable proper metric space, $p \in M$ and $\text{vol}_M^{(i)}$ is a Radon measure on M for every i . We will often denote a pointed mm^n -space by (M, p) leaving the rest of the data implicit. Two pointed mm^n -spaces $(M, p), (M', p')$ are *isomorphic* if there is an isometry from M to M' that takes p to p' and $\text{vol}_M^{(i)}$ to $\text{vol}_{M'}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1 \dots n$. We let $[M, p]$ denote the isomorphism class of (M, p) . Let \mathbb{M}^n denote the set of all isomorphism classes of pointed mm^n -spaces. Let $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{M}^1$.

Definition 5 (A topology on \mathbb{M}^n). We define a topology on \mathbb{M}^n by declaring that a sequence $\{[M_i, p_i]\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges to $[M_\infty, p_\infty]$ in \mathbb{M}^n if and only if there exist a complete proper separable metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ such that

•

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_i(M_i), \varphi_i(p_i)) = (\varphi_\infty(M_\infty), \varphi_\infty(p_\infty))$$

in the pointed Hausdorff topology (see Definition 28 in the appendix for the definition of this topology);

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)} = (\varphi_\infty)_* \text{vol}_{M_\infty}^{(k)}$ (in the weak* topology on $\mathcal{M}(Z)$) for all k .

Theorem 3.1. *With the topology above, \mathbb{M}^n is separable and metrizable.*

The proof of this theorem is in the appendix.

Definition 6. Every non-null finite volume mm-space M is associated with a measure $\mu_M \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ obtained by pushing forward the probability measure $\frac{\text{vol}_M}{\text{vol}_M(M)}$ under the map from M to \mathbb{M} given by $p \mapsto [M, p]$. A sequence $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of non-null finite volume mm-space *Benjamini-Schramm converges* if $\{\mu_{M_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges in the weak* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$.

4 A variant of Elek's Theorem

The purpose of this section is to prove a version of Lemma 2.1 for metric measure spaces. We first need some definitions to state the result properly.

Definition 7 (Special metric measure spaces). Let M be an mm-space. We say M is *special* if

- vol_M is non-atomic (i.e. $\text{vol}_M(\{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in M$);
- vol_M is fully-supported (i.e., $\text{vol}_M(O) > 0$ for every nonempty open set $O \subset M$);
- spheres have measure zero (i.e., for all $p \in M, \epsilon > 0$, $\text{vol}_M(\{q \in M : \text{dist}_M(p, q) = \epsilon\}) = 0$);
- M is pathwise connected.

Let $\mathbb{M}_{sp} \subset \mathbb{M}$ denote the subspace of isomorphism classes of pointed special mm-spaces.

Definition 8. Let M be a metric space. We say that m is a *midpoint* of x, y (for $m, x, y \in M$) if $\text{dist}_M(x, m) = \text{dist}_M(m, y) = (1/2)\text{dist}_M(x, y)$. We say a subset $X \subset M$ is *strongly convex* if every pair $x, y \in X$ has a unique midpoint $m \in X$.

Definition 9. Let M be a metric space and $\epsilon > 0$. A set $S \subset M$ is ϵ -*separated* if $\text{dist}_M(s, s') > \epsilon$ for every $s, s' \in S$ with $s \neq s'$. If $Q \subset M$ then S ϵ -*covers* Q if for every $q \in Q$ there is an $s \in S$ such that $\text{dist}_M(q, s) < \epsilon$.

Definition 10. Given a metric space M , $p \in M$ and $R > 0$, let $B_M(p, R)$ denote the closed ball of radius R centered at p . Let $B_M^o(p, R)$ denote the open ball of radius R centered at p .

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of finite-volume special mm-spaces. Suppose $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{M_i} = \mu_\infty \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M}_{sp})$ exists. We assume there are constants ϵ, v_0, v_1 such that for every $p \in M_i$ (and every $i = 1, 2, \dots$)*

- $v_1 > \text{vol}_{M_i}(B_{M_i}^o(p, 20\epsilon)) \geq \text{vol}_{M_i}(B_{M_i}^o(p, \epsilon/2)) > v_0 > 0$,
- $B_{M_i}^o(p, r)$ is strongly convex for every $r \leq 10\epsilon$;

Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(M_i)}{\text{vol}(M_i)}$ exists for every $d \geq 1$ where $b_d(M_i)$ denotes the d -th ordinary Betti number of M_i .

The main ideas for the proof of Theorem 4.1 are due to G. Elek [El12].

4.0.1 A brief outline

First we show how to construct for every rooted special mm-space (M, p) a random discrete subset $S \subset M$ which is ϵ -separated and 3ϵ -covering. The main difficulty is showing that this construction can be made to depend continuously on $[M, p]$. Secondly we let $\rho^S : S \rightarrow [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ be a random map and we consider the nerve complex K of the open covering $B_M^o(s, \rho^S(s))$. To be precise, the vertex set of K is S and a subset $S' \subset S$ spans a simplex in K if $\cap_{s \in S'} B_M^o(s, \rho^S(s)) \neq \emptyset$. Considering the case $M = M_i$ with M_i as in Theorem 4.1, we see that its random complex K_i has degree bound Δ . Moreover we show that $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is Benjamini-Schramm convergent and K_i is homotopic to M_i (this uses a variant of Borsuk's Nerve Theorem). So we can use Lemma 2.1 to finish the argument.

4.0.2 Pointed mm-spaces with a weighted discrete set

We will use the following definitions as technical tools for proving Theorem 4.1.

Definition 11. A *pointed mm-space with a weighted discrete set* is a quadruple (M, p, S, f) where (M, p) is a pointed mm-space, $S \subset M$ is a locally finite set and $f : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a function. By locally finite we mean that $B_M(p, R) \cap S$ is finite for every $R > 0$. Two such spaces $(M, p, S, f), (M', p', S', f')$ are *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism from (M, p) to (M', p') which takes S to S' and f to f' . Let \mathbb{MSF} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of pointed mm-spaces with a weighted discrete set. We let $[M, p, S, f] \in \mathbb{MSF}$ denote the isomorphism class of (M, p, S, f) .

Definition 12 (A topology on \mathbb{MSF}). Given $[M, p, S, f] \in \mathbb{MSF}$, define $\text{vol}_M^{(2)}$ on M to be the counting measure on S and $\text{vol}_M^{(3)}$ on M to be the atomic measure corresponding to f . So

$$\text{vol}_M^{(2)}(E) = |E \cap S|, \quad \text{vol}_M^{(3)}(E) = \sum_{s \in E \cap S} f(s)$$

for any $E \subset M$. This defines an embedding of \mathbb{MSF} into \mathbb{M}^3 . We give \mathbb{MSF} the induced topology.

Definition 13 (Pointed mm-spaces with a discrete set). A *pointed mm-space with a discrete set* is a triple (M, p, S) where (M, p) is a pointed mm-space and $S \subset M$ is locally finite. Two such spaces $(M, p, S), (M', p', S')$ are *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism from (M, p) to (M', p') (as elements of \mathbb{M}) which maps S bijectively to S' . Let \mathbb{MS} denote the set of all pointed mm-spaces with a discrete set up to isomorphism. We let $[M, p, S] \in \mathbb{MS}$ be the isomorphism class of (M, p, S) . There is an obvious projection map $\mathbb{MSF} \rightarrow \mathbb{MS}$. We endow \mathbb{MS} with the quotient topology. Alternatively, \mathbb{MS} can be embedded into \mathbb{M}^2 by $[M, p, S] \mapsto [M, p, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M, \text{vol}_M^{(2)}]$ where $\text{vol}_M^{(2)}$ is the measure $\text{vol}_M^{(2)}(E) = |E \cap S|$.

4.0.3 Random discrete subsets of mm-spaces

The first step in the proof of Theorem 4.1 is to associate to an mm-space a random discrete subset in a natural way. First we need a few more definitions.

Notation 1. Given a random variable X , let $\text{Law}(X)$ denote the law of X . So $\text{Law}(X)$ is a probability measure on the space of all values of X .

Definition 14. If (Y, λ) is a purely non-atomic finite measure space and $k \geq 1$ is an integer then (Y^k, λ^k) denotes the direct product of k -copies of (Y, λ) and $((Y)_k, (\lambda)_k)$ denotes the projection of (Y^k, λ^k) onto the space of all *unordered* subsets of Y of cardinality k . Because λ is purely non-atomic, this is well-defined: the large diagonal in Y^k has measure zero with respect to λ^k . A *uniformly random subset* $S \subset Y$ of cardinality k is a random subset with law equal to $(\lambda)_k / |(\lambda)_k|$ where $|(\lambda)_k|$ denotes the total mass of $(\lambda)_k$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a continuous map $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{M}_{sp} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{MS})$ such that for any $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}_{sp}$, if $[M', p', S'] \in \mathbb{MS}$ is random with $\text{Law}([M', p', S']) = \mathcal{F}([M, p])$ then $[M', p'] = [M, p]$ and S' is ϵ -separated and 3ϵ -covers M almost surely. Moreover, \mathcal{F} does not depend on the point p in the following sense. If $[M, p], [M, q] \in \mathbb{M}_{sp}$ and $[M, p, S], [M, q, T] \in \mathbb{MS}$ are random with $\text{Law}([M, p, S]) = \mathcal{F}([M, p])$, $\text{Law}([M, q, T]) = \mathcal{F}([M, q])$ then $\text{Law}(S) = \text{Law}(T)$.

Proof. Fix (M, p) be a pointed special mm-space. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_j^M be a Poisson point process on M of intensity 1. To be precise S_j^M is a random subset of M characterized by the properties:

1. if $Q \subset M$ has finite volume then $S_j^M \cap Q$ is uniformly random with cardinality $\eta_{j,Q}$ where $\eta_{j,Q}$ is a discrete Poisson random variable with parameter $\lambda = \text{vol}_M(Q)$. So $\text{Prob}(\eta_{j,Q} = n) = \frac{\text{vol}_M(Q)^n \exp(-\text{vol}_M(Q))}{n!}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
2. If $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of M of finite volume then the random variables $\{S_j^M \cap Q_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ are jointly independent.

Also let $f_j^M : S_j^M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a random function with law $\text{Leb}^{S_j^M}$ where Leb denotes Lebesgue measure on the interval $[0, 1]$. We require that $\{S_j^M\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{f_j^M\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are jointly independent.

Claim 1: The map $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}_{sp} \mapsto \text{Law}([M, p, S_j^M, f_j^M]) \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{MSF})$ is continuous for each j .

Proof of Claim 1. Let $\{[M_i, p_i]\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{M}_{sp}$ be a sequence with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [M_i, p_i] = [M_\infty, p_\infty] \in \mathbb{M}_{sp}$. So there are a complete separable proper metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ (for $1 \leq i \leq \infty$) such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_i(M_i, p_i) = \varphi_\infty(M_\infty, p_\infty), \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i} = (\varphi_\infty)_* \text{vol}_{M_\infty}.$$

The first limit above is in the pointed Hausdorff topology and the second is in the weak* topology. These limits imply that the Poisson point process with intensity one with respect to the measure $(\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}$ converges in law to the Poisson point process with intensity one with respect to the measure $(\varphi_\infty)_* \text{vol}_{M_\infty}$. Similarly, if f'_{ij} is defined on $\varphi_i(S_j^{M_i})$ by $f'_{ij}(\varphi_i(s)) = f_j^{M_i}(s)$ then $\text{Law}(\varphi_i(S_j^{M_i}), f'_{ij})$ converges to $\text{Law}(\varphi_\infty(S_j^{M_\infty}), f'_{\infty j})$ which implies $\text{Law}([M_i, p, S_j^{M_i}, f_j^{M_i}])$ converges to $\text{Law}([M_\infty, p, S_j^{M_\infty}, f_j^{M_\infty}])$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The idea behind the proof is to construct a random subset $S^M \subset \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j^M$ such that the map $[M, p] \mapsto \text{Law}([M, p, S^M])$ satisfies the conclusions of the lemma. We build S^M in stages. In the first stage, we identify a random subset $T_1^M \subset S_1^M$ such that $U_1^M := S_1^M \setminus T_1^M$ is ϵ -separated. In the n -th stage we identify a random subset $T_n^M \subset S_n^M$ such that if $U_n^M := S_n^M \setminus T_n^M$ then $\cup_{j < n} U_j^M$ is ϵ -separated. Finally we let $S^M = \cup_{j=1}^\infty U_j^M$. Randomness is used in the construction of each T_j^M in order to ensure continuity of the map $[M, p] \mapsto \text{Law}([M, p, S^M])$. Next we present the details.

Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function satisfying:

- $\phi(t) = 1$ if $t \leq \epsilon$
- $\phi(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 2\epsilon$.

For each pair $s, t \in \cup_{j=1}^\infty S_j^M$, let $X(s, t) \in [0, 1]$ be a random variable with Lebesgue distribution. We require that the $X(s, t)$'s are jointly independent. Let T_1^M consist of every $s \in S_1^M$ such that there is some $t \in S_1^M$ with $f_1^M(s) \leq f_1^M(t)$ and $\phi(\text{dist}_M(s, t)) \geq X(s, t)$. Let $U_1^M = S_1^M \setminus T_1^M$. Note that U_1^M is ϵ -separated almost surely.

Claim 2: The map $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M} \mapsto \text{Law}([M, p, U_1^M]) \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{MS})$ is continuous.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\{[M_i, p_i, S_1^{M_i}, f_1^{M_i}]\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{MSF}$ be a (deterministic) sequence with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [M_i, p_i, S_1^{M_i}, f_1^{M_i}] = [M_\infty, p_\infty, S_1^{M_\infty}, f_1^{M_\infty}] \in \mathbb{MSF}$ and such that $f_1^{M_\infty}$ is injective. So there are a complete separable metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_i(M_i, p_i) = \varphi_\infty(M_\infty, p_\infty), \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)} = (\varphi_\infty)_* \text{vol}_{M_\infty}^{(k)}$$

for each $k = 1, 2, 3$ where $\text{vol}_{M_i}^{(2)}, \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(3)}$ are as in Definition 12. By Claim 1, it suffices to show that $\text{Law}(\varphi_i(T_1^{M_i}))$ converges to $\text{Law}(\varphi_\infty(T_1^{M_\infty}))$.

Suppose that $x_i \in S_1^{M_i}$ and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_i(x_i) = \varphi_\infty(x_\infty)$$

for some $x_\infty \in S_1^{M_\infty}$. Then $f_1^{M_i}(x_i)$ converges to $f_1^{M_\infty}(x_\infty)$.

Let $W(x_i)$ be the set of all $s \in S_1^{M_i} \cap B_{M_i}(x_i, 2\epsilon)$ such that $f_1^{M_i}(x_i) \leq f_1^{M_i}(s)$. The probability that $x_i \in T_1^{M_i}$ is the probability that $\phi(\text{dist}_{M_i}(x_i, s)) \geq X(x_i, s)$ for some $s \in W(x_i)$. Note $W(x_i)$ is finite and $\varphi_i(W(x_i))$ converges to $\varphi_\infty(W(x_\infty))$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in the Hausdorff topology because $f_1^{M_\infty}$ is injective. Also the values of the functions $f_1^{M_i}$ converge in the sense that if $y_i \in W(x_i)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_i(y_i) = \varphi_\infty(y_\infty)$ then $f_1^{M_i}(y_i)$ converges to $f_1^{M_\infty}(y_\infty)$. Since ϕ is continuous, the probability that $x_i \in T_1^{M_i}$ converges to the probability that $x_\infty \in T_1^{M_\infty}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Because $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, this implies the claim. \square

For $(M, p) \in \mathbb{M}$, we inductively define T_n^M, U_n^M (for $n \geq 2$) by: T_n^M consists of every $x \in S_n^M$ such that there exists $y \in S_n^M \cup \bigcup_{j < n} U_j^M$ with $f_n^M(x) \leq f_n^M(y)$ and $\phi(\text{dist}_M(x, y)) \geq X(x, y)$. Let $U_n^M = S_n^M \setminus T_n^M$. Note $\bigcup_{j \leq n} U_j^M$ is ϵ -separated almost surely.

Claim 3: The map $[M, p] \mapsto \text{Law}([M, p, U_n^M]) \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{MS})$ is continuous for every n .

The proof of this is similar to the proof of Claim 2 so we will skip it. Let $S^M = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty U_j^M$. Note S^M is ϵ -separated almost surely. We claim that S^M 3ϵ -covers M if M is special. To see this, let $q \in M$. Let $n > 0$ be an integer and consider the event that $\bigcup_{j < n} U_j^M$ has trivial intersection with $B_M^o(q, 3\epsilon)$. Conditioned on this event, the probability that U_n^M has nontrivial intersection with $B_M^o(q, 3\epsilon)$ is bounded below by the probability that $S_n^M \cap B_M^o(q, 3\epsilon)$ consists of a single point contained in $B_M(q, \epsilon)$. In particular there is a positive lower bound on this probability (depending on q) which is independent of n . This uses the hypothesis that vol_M is fully-supported because M is special. By the law of large numbers then, with probability one, $S^M \cap B_M^o(q, 3\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$. This proves S^M 3ϵ -covers M as claimed. To finish the lemma, define $\mathcal{F}([M, p]) := \text{Law}([M, p, S^M])$. The continuity of \mathcal{F} follows from Claim 3. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let \mathbb{MS}' be the set of all $[M, p, S] \in \mathbb{MS}$ such that there is a unique $s \in S$ with $\text{dist}_M(p, s) \leq \text{dist}_M(p, s')$ for all $s' \in S$. Given $[M, p, S] \in \mathbb{MS}'$, let $\rho^S : S \rightarrow [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ be a random function defined by:

- for each $t \in S$, $\text{Law}(\rho^S(t))$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the interval $[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$;

- the family $\{\rho^S(t) : t \in S\}$ is jointly independent.

In other words, the law of ρ^S is the product measure $(\text{Leb}_{[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]})^S$ where $\text{Leb}_{[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]}$ denotes Lebesgue measure on the interval $[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ normalized to have total mass 1. Let $\Sigma(M, S, \rho^S)$ be the nerve complex of $\{B_M^o(s, \rho^S(s)) : s \in S\}$. To be precise, the vertex set of $\Sigma(M, S, \rho^S)$ is S and for every $S' \subset S$ there is a simplex in $\Sigma(M, S, \rho^S)$ spanning S' if and only if $\bigcap_{s \in S'} B_M^o(s, \rho^S(s)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $v \in S$ be the unique element closest to p , $\Sigma(M, S, \rho^S)_v$ be the connected component of $\Sigma(M, S, \rho^S)$ containing v and let $\nu_{M,p,S} = \text{Law}(\Sigma(M, S, \rho^S)_v, v) \in \mathcal{M}(\text{RSC})$.

Let (K, v) be a finite rooted simplicial complex, $r > 0$ be an integer and $U_r(K, v)$ be the set of all $[K', v'] \in \text{RSC}$ such that the ball of radius r centered at v' is isomorphic to (K, v) as rooted simplicial complexes.

Claim 1. The map $[M, p, S] \in \mathcal{MS}' \mapsto \nu_{M,p,S}(U_r(K, v))$ is continuous for every $(K, v), r > 0$.

Note: the reason why we choose the radii ρ^S randomly rather than deterministically is to make this claim true.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $W_r(K, v)$ be the union of all sets of the form $U_r(K', v')$ where $[K', v'] \in \text{RSC}$ is such that there is a simplicial embedding $\phi : K \rightarrow K'$ which maps v to v' and is bijective on the 0-skeleton. Using inclusion-exclusion, it is possible to express $\nu_{M,p,S}(U_r(K, v))$ as a finite linear combination of numbers of the form $\nu_{M,p,S}(W_r(K', v'))$. So it suffices to show that the map $(M, p, S) \mapsto \nu_{M,p,S}(W_r(K, v))$ is continuous.

So let $\{[M_i, p_i, S_i]\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{MS}'$ be a sequence with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [M_i, p_i, S_i] = [M_\infty, p_\infty, S_\infty] \in \mathcal{MS}'$. Without loss of generality, we may assume there is a complete proper separable metric space Z containing M_i for $1 \leq i \leq \infty$ such that

- dist_{M_i} is the restriction of dist_Z to M_i (for all i);
- (M_i, p_i) converges to (M_∞, p_∞) in the pointed Hausdorff topology;
- (S_i, p_i) converges to (S_∞, p_∞) in the pointed Hausdorff topology.

Let $R = 100\epsilon r$. Since each S_i is locally finite, there is an integer $n > 0$ and $s_{i,1}, \dots, s_{i,n} \in S_i$ such that

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_{i,j} = s_{\infty,j}$ for each j ,

- $B_Z(p_i, R) \cap S_i \subset \{s_{i,1}, \dots, s_{i,n}\}$ for all i .

Let E_i be the set of all $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]^n$ such that if $\rho : S_i \rightarrow [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ is any function with $\rho(s_{i,j}) = t_j$ for all j then $(\Sigma(M_i, S_i, \rho)_{v_i}, v_i) \in W_r(K, v)$ where $v_i \in S_i$ is the unique closest point to p_i . By definition, $\nu_{M_i, p_i, S_i}(W_r(K, v)) = \text{Leb}_{[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]}^n(E_i)$.

Note that E_i is open (because the nerve complexes are defined in terms of open sets). Also, the definition of $W_r(K, v)$ implies that E_i has the following monotone property: if $t \in E_i$ and $t' \in [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]^n$ satisfies $t'_j \geq t_j$ for all j then $t' \in E_i$. In order to estimate the volume of E_i , let $f_i : [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]^{n-1} \rightarrow [5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ be the function $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = t_n$ where t_n is the largest number in $[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]$ such $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \notin E_i$ if such a number exists. Otherwise, set $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = 5\epsilon$. Then the complement of E_i is the region below the graph of f_i . So

$$\nu_{M_i, p_i, S_i}(W_r(K, v)) = \text{Leb}_{[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]}^n(E_i) = 1 - \int f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) d\text{Leb}_{[5\epsilon, 6\epsilon]}^{n-1}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

Because $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_{i,j} = s_{\infty,j}$ for each j and (M_i, p) converges to (M_∞, p_∞) , it follows that $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges pointwise to f_∞ . The Bounded Convergence Theorem now implies $\nu_{M_i, p_i, S_i}(W_r(K, v))$ converges to $\nu_{M_\infty, p_\infty, S_\infty}(W_r(K, v))$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Given a special mm-space M , $s \in M$ and $r > 0$ let $\kappa(s, r) \geq 0$ be the smallest radius such that $\text{vol}_M(B_M(s, \kappa(s, r))) = r$ if such a number exists. Let $\kappa(s, r) = +\infty$ if no such number exists. Let $\text{MS}(r)$ be the set of all $(M', p', S') \in \text{MS}$ such that $\text{dist}_{M'}(p', s) \leq \kappa(s, r)$ for some $s \in S'$. Similarly, let $\text{MS}^o(r)$ be the set of all $(M', p', S') \in \text{MS}$ such that $\text{dist}_{M'}(p', s) < \kappa(s, r)$ for some $s \in S'$.

Let M_i be as in the statement of Theorem 4.1, $p_i \in M_i$ be uniformly random, $S_i \subset M_i$ be such that $\text{Law}([M_i, p_i, S_i]) = \mathcal{F}([M_i, p_i])$ as in Lemma 4.2 and $\lambda_i = \text{Law}([M_i, p_i, S_i])$ for $1 \leq i < \infty$. By the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, λ_i converges as $i \rightarrow \infty$ to a measure $\lambda_\infty \in \mathcal{M}_1(\text{MS}_{sp})$. Let $[M_\infty, p_\infty, S_\infty] \in \text{MS}$ be random with law λ_∞ . By hypothesis, M_∞ is a special mm-space almost surely.

Claim 2.

1. $\lambda_\infty(\partial \text{MS}(v_0/2)) = 0$ where $\partial \text{MS}(v_0/2) = \overline{\text{MS}(v_0/2)} \cap \overline{\text{MS} \setminus \text{MS}(v_0/2)}$;
2. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}(v_0/2)) = \lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(v_0/2)) \geq \frac{v_0}{2v_1}$.

Proof of Claim 2. Note that for every $s \in M_i$,

$$\kappa(s, v_0/2) < \kappa(s, v_0) < \epsilon/2$$

because $\text{vol}_{M_i}(B_{M_i}^o(s, \epsilon/2)) > v_0 > 0$ and because M_i is special so spheres in M_i have measure zero. Because λ_i converges to λ_∞ and $\text{MS}(r)$ is closed in MS , the Portmanteau Theorem implies

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}(r)) \leq \lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r)) \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (3)$$

Because $\text{MS}^o(r)$ is open in MS ,

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}^o(r)) \geq \lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r)) \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (4)$$

Now observe that

$$\lambda_i(\text{MS}^o(r)) = \lambda_i(\text{MS}(r)) = \frac{|S_i|r}{\text{vol}(M_i)}$$

if $r \leq v_0$ because spheres in M_i have measure zero, $\kappa(s, v_0) < \epsilon/2$ and S_i is ϵ -separated. In particular, if $0 < r_1, r_2 < v_0$ then

$$\frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_1))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_2))} \leq \frac{\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}^o(r_1))}{\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}(r_2))} \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_i(\text{MS}^o(r_1))}{\lambda_i(\text{MS}(r_2))} = \frac{r_1}{r_2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_1))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_2))} \geq \frac{\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}(r_1))}{\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}^o(r_2))} \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_i(\text{MS}(r_1))}{\lambda_i(\text{MS}^o(r_2))} = \frac{r_1}{r_2}.$$

So for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_1 - \delta}{r_2 + \delta} &\leq \frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_1 - \delta))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_2 + \delta))} \leq \frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_1))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_2))} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_1))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_2))} \leq \frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_1 + \delta))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_2 - \delta))} \leq \frac{r_1 + \delta}{r_2 - \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

By sending $\delta \searrow 0$ we see that

$$\frac{r_1}{r_2} = \frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_1))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_2))} = \frac{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(r_1))}{\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(r_2))}.$$

In particular, $\lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(v_0/2)) = \lambda_\infty(\text{MS}^o(v_0/2))$ which implies $\lambda_\infty(\partial \text{MS}(v_0/2)) = 0$. By (3, 4)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}(v_0/2)) = \lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(v_0/2)).$$

Because $B_{M_i}(q, 3\epsilon) < v_1$ (for any $q \in M_i$) and S_i is 3ϵ -covering, it follows that

$$v_1 |S_i| \geq \text{vol}_{M_i}(M_i).$$

Because $\kappa(s, v_0/2) \leq \epsilon/2$ and S_i is ϵ -separated it follows that the collection of balls of radii $\kappa(s, v_0/2)$ centered at $s \in S_i$ is pairwise disjoint. Therefore

$$\lambda_i(\mathbb{MS}(v_0/2)) = \frac{|S_i|v_0/2}{\text{vol}_{M_i}(M_i)} \geq \frac{v_0}{2v_1} > 0.$$

So $\lambda_\infty(\mathbb{MS}(v_0/2)) \geq \frac{v_0}{2v_1} > 0$.

□

By Claim 2 and the Portmanteau Theorem, λ'_i converges to λ'_∞ in the weak* topology as $i \rightarrow \infty$ where λ'_i denotes the normalized restriction of λ_i to $\mathbb{MS}(v_0/2)$. More precisely,

$$\lambda'_i(E) := \frac{\lambda_i(E \cap \mathbb{MS}(v_0/2))}{\lambda_i(\mathbb{MS}(v_0/2))}$$

for every Borel $E \subset \mathbb{MS}$.

If $T \subset B_{M_i}(s, 20\epsilon)$ is any ϵ -separated subset then because

$$v_1 > \text{vol}_{M_i}(B_{M_i}^o(q, 20\epsilon)) \geq \text{vol}_{M_i}(B_{M_i}^o(q, \epsilon/2)) > v_0 > 0$$

for every $q \in M_i$, we must have $v_0|T| \leq v_1$. So $|T| \leq v_1/v_0$. So setting $\Delta := v_1/v_0$, we see that the degree of any vertex in $\Sigma(M_i, S_i, \rho^{S_i})$ is at most Δ . So if $\nu'_i := \int \nu_{M_i, p_i, S_i} d\lambda'_i(M_i, p_i, S_i)$ then $\nu'_i \in \mathcal{M}_1(\text{RSC}(\Delta))$. By Claim 1 and the fact that $\mathbb{MS}(v_0/2) \subset \mathbb{MS}'$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu'_i(U_r(K, v)) = \nu'_\infty(U_r(K, v))$ for every finite $(K, v) \in \text{RSC}(\Delta)$ and $r > 0$. Because each $\nu'_i \in \mathcal{M}_1(\text{RSC}(\Delta))$ and the sets $U_r(K, v)$ generate the Borel sigma-algebra of $\text{RSC}(\Delta)$, it follows that ν'_i converges to ν'_∞ in the weak* topology as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $[K_i, w_i] \in \text{RSC}$ be random with law ν'_i . We claim that the law of w_i given K_i is uniform over the vertex set of K_i (for $1 \leq i < \infty$). Indeed, the set of vertices of K_i is S_i and $w_i \in S_i$ is the nearest point to p_i when $p_i \in M_i$ is chosen uniformly at random subject to the condition that $\text{dist}_{M_i}(p_i, w_i) \leq \kappa(w_i, v_0/2)$. The element w_i is uniquely determined by p_i because S_i is ϵ -separated with $\epsilon/2 \geq \kappa(w_i, v_0/2)$. So the balls $B_{M_i}(s, \kappa(s, v_0/2))$ are pairwise disjoint for $s \in S_i$ and each has the same volume, namely $v_0/2$. Therefore w_i is uniformly distributed over S_i as required.

Because each M_i is special, each is pathwise connected. This implies K_i is connected. It now follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[b_k(K_i)]}{\mathbb{E}[|V(K_i)|]} \quad (5)$$

exists, where $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ denotes expected value.

Because $B_{M_i}^o(s, r)$ is strongly convex for every $r \leq 10\epsilon$, for any subset $S' \subset S_i$, either $\bigcap_{s \in S'} B_{M_i}^o(s, \rho^{S_i}(s))$ is empty or it is strongly convex. In the latter case, it is contractible by [Ro70]. This implies that K_i is homotopy equivalent to M_i by [Ha02, Corollary 4G.3] (this is a slightly stronger version of Borsuk's Nerve Theorem [Bo48]). So $\mathbb{E}[b_k(K_i)] = b_k(M_i)$. Because of (5) it now suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|V(K_i)|]}{\text{vol}(M_i)}$$

exists.

Note $|V(K_i)| = |S_i| = \text{vol}(M_i(v_0/2))(v_0/2)^{-1}$ where $M_i(v_0/2)$ is the set of all $q \in M_i$ such that $\text{dist}_{M_i}(q, s) \leq \kappa(s, v_0/2)$ for some $s \in S_i$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[|V(K_i)|]}{\text{vol}(M_i)} &= (v_0/2)^{-1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\text{vol}(M_i(v_0/2))]}{\text{vol}(M_i)} \\ &= (v_0/2)^{-1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(\text{MS}(v_0/2)) = (v_0/2)^{-1} \lambda_\infty(\text{MS}(v_0/2)). \end{aligned}$$

□

The next result is not needed in the sequel. However, it seems worth recording for the sake of future research. This result was first obtained by G. Elek [El12].

Definition 15. We consider any Riemannian manifold X as an mm-space with distance dist_X equal to the Riemannian distance and measure vol_X equal to the Riemannian volume form.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of connected closed smooth Riemannian n -manifolds. Suppose that $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ Benjamini-Schramm converges in the sense of Definition 6. Suppose also that there are constants δ, κ such that for each M_i , all sectional curvatures are bounded from above by κ and all Ricci curvatures are bounded from below by δ . Suppose also that the injectivity radius of M_i tends to infinity as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then the normalized limit*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(M_i)}{\text{vol}(M_i)}$$

exists for every $d \geq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to check that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are met. The volume bounds on balls follow from [Ch93, Theorems 3.7 and 3.9]. Strong convexity of small balls follows from [Ch93, Theorem 7.9]. The other conditions are trivial to verify. \square

5 L^2 -Betti numbers

In this section, we quickly review facts about L^2 -invariants used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We refer the reader to [Lu02, Lu09] for background.

Given a topological space X with a continuous Γ -action (where Γ is a countable discrete group), we may define the L^2 -Betti numbers $b_k^{(2)}(X; \mathcal{N}(\Gamma))$ (for $k \in \mathbb{N}$) (where $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ denotes the von Neumann algebra of Γ). For simplicity, we let $b_k^{(2)}(X)$ denote $b_k^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(\pi_1(X)))$ where \tilde{X} is the universal cover of X and $\pi_1(X)$ acts on \tilde{X} in the usual way. These numbers are known to be homotopy invariants. Hence we may define the L^2 -Betti numbers of a countable discrete group Γ by $b_k^{(2)}(\Gamma) := b_k^{(2)}(B\Gamma)$ where $B\Gamma$ is any classifying space for Γ (i.e., $B\Gamma$ is a connected CW-complex with $\pi_1(B\Gamma)$ isomorphic to Γ and $\pi_n(B\Gamma) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$).

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a finite connected CW-complex. Suppose there is a decreasing sequence $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of finite-index normal subgroups $N_i \triangleleft \pi_1(M)$ such that $\cap_{i=1}^\infty N_i = \{e\}$. Let $M_i \rightarrow M$ be the finite cover associated to N_i . Then for any integer $k \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_k(M_i)}{[\pi_1(M) : N_i]} = b_k^{(2)}(M)$$

where $b_k^{(2)}(M)$ is the k -th L^2 -Betti number of M and $b_k(M_i)$ is the ordinary k -th Betti number of M_i (with real coefficients).

Proof. This is [Lu94, Theorem 0.1]. \square

6 Unimodular measures

Measures of the form μ_M (where M is a non-null finite volume mm-space) have a special property called *unimodularity* which is a kind of statistical homogeneity. We will use this

property to prove convergence of certain sequences in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$. To begin we need a few definitions.

Definition 16. A *doubly-pointed mm-space* is a quintuple $(M, p, q, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ where $(M, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ is an mm-space and $p, q \in M$. We will usually denote such a space by (M, p, q) leaving the rest implicit. We say (M, p, q) and (M', p', q') are *doubly-pointed isomorphic* if there is an isometry from M_1 to M_2 which takes p to p' , q to q' and vol_M to $\text{vol}_{M'}$. Let \mathbb{DM} denote the set of all isomorphism classes of doubly-pointed mm-spaces. We let $[M, p, q] \in \mathbb{DM}$ denote the isomorphism class of (M, p, q) . We can embed \mathbb{DM} into \mathbb{M}^2 by $[M, p, q, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M] \mapsto [M, p, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M, \delta_q]$ where δ_q is the Dirac probability measure concentrated on $\{q\}$. We give \mathbb{DM} the induced topology.

Definition 17. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$. Define measures λ_l, λ_r on \mathbb{DM} by

$$d\lambda_l([M, p, q]) = d\text{vol}_M(q) d\lambda([M, p]), \quad d\lambda_r([M, p, q]) = d\text{vol}_M(p) d\lambda([M, q])$$

For example, this means that if f is a positive Borel function on \mathbb{DM} then

$$\int f([M, p, q]) d\lambda_l([M, p, q]) = \int f([M, p, q]) d\text{vol}_M(q) d\lambda([M, p]).$$

We say that λ is *unimodular* if $\lambda_l = \lambda_r$. This term originally appeared in percolation theory (see e.g., [AL07] and the references therein).

Example 1. Let M be a non-null finite volume mm-space and $p \in M$ be a uniformly random point. Then $\text{Law}([M, p]) = \mu_M \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ is unimodular. Assuming M is connected, let \widetilde{M} be the universal cover of M and let $\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{M}$ be an inverse image of p . The pointed-isometry class of $(\widetilde{M}, \tilde{p})$ does not depend on the choice of \tilde{p} . Also $\text{Law}(\widetilde{M}, \tilde{p})$ is unimodular.

Lemma 6.1. *The space of unimodular measures in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ is closed in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{DM}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{DM})$ be the map $\pi(\lambda) = (\lambda_l, \lambda_r)$. This is a continuous map. Since the space of unimodular measures is $\pi^{-1}(\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2\})$, it must be closed in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$. \square

Remark 5. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ be the space of all measures of the form μ_M where M is a finite-volume mm-space and $\mu_M = \text{Law}([M, p])$ where $p \in M$ is uniformly random. The

relative closure $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ is the space of *sofic measures*. Are all unimodular measures sofic? This question is a generalization of the well-known problem: are all groups sofic? It is also a generalization of the problem: are all unimodular networks sofic? This was first asked in [AL07].

Definition 18. If X is a metric measure space then $\text{Isom}(X)$ denotes the group of all measure-preserving isometries $\phi : X \rightarrow X$. To be precise, we require $\phi_* \text{vol}_X = \text{vol}_X$. A subgroup $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(X)$ is a *lattice* if there exists a measurable subset $\Delta \subset X$ of positive finite volume such that $\{\gamma\Delta : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is a partition of X . Such a set is called a *fundamental domain* for Λ .

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a pathwise connected mm-space. Suppose there is a lattice $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(X)$. Then there is a unique unimodular measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ such that μ -almost every $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}$ is such that $(M, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ is isomorphic with $(X, \text{dist}_X, \text{vol}_X)$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta \subset X$ be a Borel fundamental domain for Λ . Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ be the map $\pi(p) = [X, p]$. Let $\nu = \pi_* \left(\frac{(\text{vol}_X)|_\Delta}{\text{vol}_X(\Delta)} \right)$ be the pushforward of the normalized volume on X restricted to Δ . It is easy to check that ν is a unimodular measure on \mathbb{M} . This shows existence.

Now suppose that μ is as in the statement of the lemma. To be precise, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ is a unimodular measure such that μ -almost every $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}$ is such that $(M, \text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M)$ is isomorphic with $(X, \text{dist}_X, \text{vol}_X)$. It suffices to show that $\mu = \nu$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{M}$ be Borel. Suppose that $\nu(A) = 0$. We will show that $\mu(A) = 0$. Note that $\text{vol}_X(\pi^{-1}(A) \cap \Delta) = 0$. Since Δ is a fundamental domain of a lattice, this implies $\text{vol}_X(\pi^{-1}(A)) = 0$. Define a function f on \mathbb{M} by $f([M, p, q]) = 1$ if there is a doubly-pointed isomorphism from (M, p, q) to (X, p', q') and $p' \in \pi^{-1}(A) \cap \Delta, q' \in \Delta$. Let $f([M, p, q]) = 0$ otherwise. Because μ is unimodular,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_X(\Delta)\mu(A) &\leq \iint f([M, p, q]) \, d\text{vol}_M(q) d\mu([M, p]) \\ &= \iint f([M, p, q]) \, d\text{vol}_M(p) d\mu([M, q]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mu(A) = 0$. Because A is arbitrary, μ is absolutely continuous to ν . So there exists a nonnegative Borel function r' such that $d\mu = r'd\nu$. By pulling back under π we see that

there is a non-negative Borel function r on Δ such that

$$d\mu([X, p]) = r(p) d\left(\frac{\pi_* \text{vol}_X|_\Delta}{\text{vol}_X(\Delta)}\right)(p).$$

Because μ is unimodular $d\text{vol}_X(q)d\mu([X, p]) = d\text{vol}_X(p)d\mu([X, q])$. Therefore

$$r(p)d\text{vol}_X(q)d\text{vol}_X(p) = r(q)d\text{vol}_X(p)d\text{vol}_X(q).$$

In particular, $r(p) = r(q)$ for a.e. $p, q \in \Delta$. This implies $\mu = \nu$ as required. \square

Next, we determine conditions under which a sequence of mm-spaces Benjamini-Schramm-converges to the unique unimodular measure concentrated on pointed isomorphism classes of mm-spaces that are isomorphic with X .

Definition 19. Given a metric space M and a subset $M' \subset M$, let $\partial M' = \overline{M'} \cap \overline{M} \setminus \overline{M'}$. For $r > 0$, let $N_r(M')$ be the closed radius- r neighborhood of M' in M .

Definition 20. If M is a path-connected metric space and $M' \subset M$ then $\text{covrad}(M'|M)$ is the supremum over all $r > 0$ such that if $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is the universal cover and $p \in \pi^{-1}(M') \subset \widetilde{M}$ then π restricted to $B_{\widetilde{M}}(p, r)$ is an isometry onto its image.

Lemma 6.3. *Let X be a pathwise-connected mm-space with a cocompact subgroup $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(X)$. Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of geometric subgroups of $\text{Isom}(X)$ and $M_i \subset X/\Gamma_i$ be a finite-volume closed subspace. Suppose*

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{covrad}(M_i|X/\Gamma_i) = +\infty$ and
- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M_i))}{\text{vol}(M_i)} = 0$ for every $r > 0$.

Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{M_i}$ exists in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ and is the unique unimodular measure supported on the set of pointed isomorphism classes of mm-spaces that are isomorphic with X .

Proof. Let $p_i \in M_i$ be uniformly random (so $\mu_{M_i} = \text{Law}([M_i, p_i])$). The two hypotheses on $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ imply: for every $r > 0$, the probability that $B_{X/\Gamma_i}(p_i, r) \subset M_i$ tends to 1 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed $r > 0$. Moreover, the probability that $B_{X/\Gamma_i}(p_i, r)$ is isomorphic with a ball in X tends to 1 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ (the universal cover provides the isometry). It follows that if μ_∞ is any subsequential limit point of $\{\mu_{M_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ then μ_∞ -a.e. $[M, p]$ is such that M is

isomorphic with X . Lemma 6.1 implies μ_∞ is unimodular and Lemma 6.2 implies μ_∞ is the *unique* unimodular measure supported on pointed isomorphism classes of mm-spaces that are isomorphic with X .

It now suffices to show that $\{\mu_{M_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is precompact (so that a subsequential limit exists). Let $D \subset X$ be a compact set such that $\Lambda D = X$. Let $p'_i \in X$ be a lift of p_i under the covering map $X \rightarrow X/\Gamma_i$. Let $p''_i \in D$ be a point such that $\Lambda p'_i = \Lambda p''_i$. Note that the pointed isomorphism class of (X, p''_i) depends only on p_i . Therefore $\text{Law}([X, p''_i]) \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ is well-defined. Because D is compact, $\{\text{Law}([X, p''_i])\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is precompact. Fix $r > 0$. As noted before, with probability tending to 1 as $i \rightarrow \infty$, $B_{M_i}(p_i, r)$ is isomorphic with $B_X(p''_i, r)$. So $\{\text{Law}([B_{M_i}(p_i, r), p_i])\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is precompact in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$ which implies, since r is arbitrary, that $\{\mu_{M_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is precompact. □

7 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will derive Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 7.1 below which essentially, is a version of Theorem 1.2 for metric-measure spaces. First we need a few definitions.

Definition 21. Let X be a metric measure space and $r > 0$. We define the *radius- r Cheeger constant* of X by

$$h_r(X) = \inf_M \frac{\text{vol}_X(N_r(\partial M))}{\text{vol}_X(M)}$$

where the infimum is over all pathwise-connected compact subsets $M \subset X$ with positive volume, $\partial M = M \cap \overline{X \setminus M}$ and $N_r(\partial M)$ is the closed radius- r neighborhood of M and $\text{vol}_X(M) \leq \text{vol}_X(X)/2$.

Definition 22. For any class of groups \mathcal{F} , metric measure space X and $r > 0$ let $I_r(X|\mathcal{F}) = \inf_\Gamma h_r(X/\Gamma)$ where the infimum is over all geometric $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X)$ such that Γ is isomorphic to a group in \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a contractible special mm-space (Definition 7). Suppose:*

- *there exists a residually finite geometric cocompact lattice $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(X)$ and $b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda) > 0$;*

- *there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that every ball of radius $\leq 10\epsilon$ in X is strongly convex.*

Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $I_r(X|\mathcal{G}_d) > 0$ where \mathcal{G}_d is as in Theorem 1.2.

Example 2. Let $d > 2$, T_d denote the d -regular tree and $X_d = T_d \times T_d$. We could consider T_d to be a metric measure space by making each edge isomorphic with the unit interval. Then let $\text{vol}_{X_d} = \text{vol}_{T_d} \times \text{vol}_{T_d}$ and set dist_{X_d} equal to the sum of the distances of its coordinate projections. This makes X_d into a CAT(0) space and therefore every ball is strongly convex. Moreover, $\text{Isom}(X_d)$ equals the automorphism group of $T_d \times T_d$ as a cell-complex.

Because every lattice $\Lambda < \text{Aut}(T_d \times T_d)$ has $b_2^{(2)}(\Lambda) > 0$, it follows from Theorem 7.1 that $I_r(X_d|\mathcal{G}_2) > 0$ for some $r > 0$. The second L^2 -Betti numbers of free groups vanish. So $h_r(X_d/\Gamma) \geq I_r(X_d|\mathcal{G}_2) > 0$ for any free group $\Gamma < \text{Isom}(X_d)$.

The next lemma shows that by passing to a subgroup $\Gamma_i'' < \Gamma_i$ we may substantially simplify the problem. We will need the following definition:

Definition 23 (Asymptotic lower Betti numbers). Let Γ be a residually finite countable group and $d \geq 1$ an integer. Let

$$\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma) = \liminf_N \frac{b_d(N)}{[\Gamma : N]}$$

where the limit is over the net of finite-index normal subgroups of Γ ordered by reverse inclusion. Equivalently, $\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma)$ is the smallest number x such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every finite-index normal subgroup $N \triangleleft \Gamma$ there exists a finite-index normal subgroup $N' \triangleleft \Gamma$ with $N' < N$ and

$$\left| x - \frac{b_d(N')}{[\Gamma : N']} \right| < \epsilon.$$

In the special case that Γ has a finite classifying space, $\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma) = b_d^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ by Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be as in Theorem 7.1. Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of geometric residually finite subgroups $\Gamma_i < \text{Isom}(X)$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_r(X/\Gamma_i) = 0$ for every $r > 0$.*

Then there exist subgroups $\Gamma_i'' < \Gamma_i' < \Gamma_i$ and positive volume compact subsets $M_i' \subset X/\Gamma_i', M_i'' \subset X/\Gamma_i''$ such that

1. M_i'' is a pathwise connected compact subset of X/Γ_i'' for each i ;

$$2. \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M_i''))}{\text{vol}(M_i'')} = 0 \text{ for every } r;$$

$$3. \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{covrad}(M_i'' | X/\Gamma_i'') = \infty;$$

4.

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma_i')}{\text{vol}(M_i')} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(\Gamma_i'')}{\text{vol}(M_i'')}.$$

Proof. By hypothesis, there exist path-connected positive volume compact sets $M_i \subset X/\Gamma_i$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M_i))}{\text{vol}(M_i)} = 0$$

for every $r > 0$ where we have dropped the subscript on $\text{vol}_{X/\Gamma_i}(\cdot)$ for simplicity.

Because X is contractible and Γ_i acts freely and properly discontinuously, we may identify Γ_i with the fundamental group $\pi_1(X/\Gamma_i)$. Let $\Gamma_i' < \Gamma_i$ be the image of $\pi_1(M_i)$ under the natural map from $\pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X/\Gamma_i)$ induced by inclusion $M_i \rightarrow X/\Gamma_i$. Let $\phi_i : X/\Gamma_i' \rightarrow X/\Gamma_i$ be the covering map and let M_i' be a path-connected component of $\phi_i^{-1}(M_i)$. The choice of Γ_i' implies that ϕ_i restricted to M_i' is a homeomorphism onto M_i . So M_i' is compact and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M_i'))}{\text{vol}(M_i')} = 0 \tag{6}$$

for every $r > 0$.

For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_i'$, let $L_i(\gamma)$ denote the infimum over all numbers r such that there is a $p \in X$ whose image in X/Γ_i' is contained in M_i' and $\text{dist}_X(p, \gamma p) \leq r$. This number depends only on the conjugacy class of γ in Γ_i' . So we may think of L_i as a function on the set of conjugacy classes of Γ_i' .

Let $r > 0$. We claim that there are only a finite number of Γ_i' -conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ with $L_i([\gamma]) \leq r$. To obtain a contradiction, suppose $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots \in \Gamma_i'$ is an infinite sequence of pairwise non-conjugate elements with $L_i(\gamma_i) \leq r$. Let $p_i \in X$ be such that the image of p_i in X/Γ_i' is in M_i' and $\text{dist}_X(p_i, \gamma_i p_i) \leq r$. Let $Y_i \subset X$ be a compact set which surjects onto M_i' under the covering map $X \mapsto X/\Gamma_i'$. After conjugating γ_i if necessary, we may assume that $p_i \in Y_i$ for all i . After passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_i = p_\infty$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_i p_i = q_\infty$ exist. It follows that $\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j \gamma_i^{-1} p_\infty = q_\infty$. This contradicts the

assumption that Γ_i acts properly discontinuously and freely on X . So there are only a finite number of Γ'_i -conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ with $L_i([\gamma]) \leq r$ as claimed.

Because Γ_i is residually finite, Γ'_i is also residually finite. So there is a finite index normal subgroup $\Gamma''_i < \Gamma'_i$ such that Γ''_i does not contain any nontrivial element $\gamma \in \Gamma'_i$ with $L_i(\gamma) \leq i$. We may choose Γ''_i to also satisfy

$$\left| \frac{b_d(\Gamma''_i)}{[\Gamma'_i : \Gamma''_i]} - \widehat{b}_d(\Gamma'_i) \right| < \frac{\text{vol}(M'_i)}{i}.$$

This implies

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma'_i)}{\text{vol}(M'_i)} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(\Gamma''_i)}{[\Gamma'_i : \Gamma''_i] \text{vol}(M'_i)}. \quad (7)$$

Let $\psi_i : X/\Gamma''_i \rightarrow X/\Gamma'_i$ be the quotient map and let $M''_i = \psi_i^{-1}(M'_i)$. Because $\pi_1(M_i)$ surjects onto Γ'_i (under the natural map from $\pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X/\Gamma_i)$), it follows that $\pi_1(M'_i)$ also surjects onto $\pi_1(X/\Gamma'_i) \simeq \Gamma'_i$. This implies M''_i is path-connected.

Note $\text{covrad}(M''_i|X/\Gamma''_i) \geq i/2$. So $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{covrad}(M''_i|X/\Gamma''_i) = \infty$.

The restriction of ψ_i to $N_r(M''_i)$ is a finite-degree covering map onto $N_r(M'_i)$. So

$$\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M''_i)) = [\Gamma'_i : \Gamma''_i] \text{vol}(N_r(\partial M'_i)), \quad \text{vol}(M''_i) = [\Gamma'_i : \Gamma''_i] \text{vol}(M'_i).$$

Now (6,7) imply $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial M''_i))}{\text{vol}(M''_i)} = 0$ and

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma'_i)}{\text{vol}(M'_i)} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(\Gamma''_i)}{\text{vol}(M''_i)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of geometric residually finite subgroups $\Gamma_i < \text{Isom}(X)$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_r(X/\Gamma_i) = 0$ for every $r > 0$. Let $\Gamma''_i < \Gamma'_i < \Gamma_i$, $M'_i \subset X/\Gamma'_i$, $M''_i \subset X/\Gamma''_i$ be as Lemma 7.2. It suffices to show that for all but finitely i , $\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma'_i) > 0$.

By hypothesis, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that every ball of radius $\leq 10\epsilon$ in X is strongly convex. For sufficiently large i , $\text{covrad}(M''_i|X/\Gamma''_i) > 10\epsilon$ which implies that each ball of radius $\leq 10\epsilon$ with center in $N_{10\epsilon}(M''_i)$ is strongly convex. Moreover, for any $p \in X$ there is some $r > 0$ such that $B_X(p, r)$ maps isometrically onto the ball of radius r centered at the image of p in X/Γ''_i . This is because Γ''_i acts properly discontinuously and freely (because Γ_i

does and $\Gamma_i'' < \Gamma_i$). So for every $q \in X/\Gamma_i''$ there is some number $\kappa(q)$ such that every ball of radius $\leq \kappa(q)$ centered at q is strongly convex.

Let $S_i \subset X/\Gamma_i''$ be a set and $\rho : S_i \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a function such that

- $S_i \cap M_i''$ is ϵ -separated and 10ϵ -covers M_i'' ;
- $\rho(s) = 10\epsilon$ for every $s \in S_i \cap M_i''$;
- $\rho(s) \leq 10\epsilon$ for all $s \in S_i$;
- $B_{X/\Gamma_i''}(s, r)$ is strongly convex for every $s \in S_i$ and $r \leq \rho(s)$;
- $\{B_{X/\Gamma_i''}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i\}$ is locally finite and covers X/Γ_i'' .

Let

$$U_i = \bigcup \{B_{X/\Gamma_i''}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i \cap M_i''\}.$$

Observe that $M_i'' \subset U_i$ and U_i is pathwise connected (because M_i'' is pathwise connected). Because $S_i \cap M_i''$ is finite we can choose $0 < \delta_i < \epsilon$ so that if

$$U_i' := \bigcup \{B_{X/\Gamma_i''}(s, \rho(s) - \delta_i) : s \in S_i \cap M_i''\}$$

then U_i' is homologically equivalent to U_i (in the sense that they have the same Betti numbers), $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(U_i')}{\text{vol}(U_i)} = 1$ and $M_i'' \subset U_i'$. In particular U_i' is pathwise connected. Note U_i' is closed while U_i is open. For simplicity we have dropped the subscript on $\text{vol}_{X/\Gamma_i''}(\cdot) = \text{vol}(\cdot)$.

Because $M_i'' \subset U_i' \subset N_{10\epsilon}(M_i'')$ it follows that

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{covrad}(U_i' | X/\Gamma_i) = +\infty$ and
- $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_r(\partial U_i'))}{\text{vol}(U_i')} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_{r+10\epsilon}(\partial M_i''))}{\text{vol}(M_i'')} = 0$ for every $r > 0$.

Let p_i be a uniformly random point of U_i' and let $\mu_i = \text{Law}(U_i', p_i) \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$. By Lemma 6.3, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i = \mu_\infty$ is the unique unimodular measure supported on pointed isomorphism classes of metric measure spaces that are isomorphic with X .

To apply Theorem 4.1 (to U_i') we need to check a few more hypotheses. We claim that there is a $v_0 > 0$ such that for every $p, q \in X$ if $\text{dist}_X(p, q) \leq 10\epsilon - \delta_i$ then $\text{vol}(B_X(q, \epsilon/2) \cap$

$B_X(p, 10\epsilon - \delta_i)) > v_0$. If this is false then there are sequences $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^\infty, \{q_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset X$ and $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{dist}_X(p_j, q_j) \leq 10\epsilon - \delta_{i_j}$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{vol}(B_X(q_j, \epsilon/2) \cap B_X(p_j, 10\epsilon - \delta_{i_j})) = 0$. Let $D \subset X$ be a compact set that surjects onto X/Λ . By replacing p_j, q_j with $g_j p_j, g_j q_j$ for some $g_j \in \Lambda$ if necessary, we may assume that each $p_j \in D$. After passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_j = p_\infty, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} q_j = q_\infty$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{i_j} = \delta_\infty \in [0, \epsilon]$ exist. Let $\eta > 0$. For all sufficiently large j ,

$$B_X(q_\infty, \epsilon/2 - \eta) \cap B_X(p_\infty, 10\epsilon - \delta_\infty - \eta) \subset B_X(q_j, \epsilon/2) \cap B_X(p_j, 10\epsilon - \delta_{i_j}).$$

This implies $\text{vol}_X(B_X(q_\infty, \epsilon/2) \cap B_X(p_\infty, 10\epsilon - \delta_\infty)) = 0$. However, $B_X(p_\infty, 10\epsilon - \delta_\infty)$ is strongly convex and so there is a geodesic from p_∞ to q_∞ in $B_X(p_\infty, 10\epsilon - \delta_\infty)$. It follows that $B_X(q_\infty, \epsilon/2) \cap B_X(p_\infty, 10\epsilon - \delta_\infty)$ is a nonempty open set. Since vol_X is fully supported (because X is special), this is a contradiction. This proves the claim. Note that v_0 does not depend on i .

If i is sufficiently large, then $\text{covrad}(U'_i | X/\Gamma''_i) > 10\epsilon$ which implies that every $(10\epsilon - \delta_i)$ -ball in X/Γ''_i which lies in U'_i is isometric with a $(10\epsilon - \delta_i)$ -ball in X . Therefore, for every $q_i \in U'_i$, $\text{vol}(B_{X/\Gamma''_i}(q_i, \epsilon/2) \cap U'_i) = \text{vol}(B_{U'_i}(q_i, \epsilon/2)) > v_0$. Also because X/Λ is compact, there is a $v_1 > 0$ such that $B_X(x, 20\epsilon) < v_1$ for every $x \in X$. This implies $B_{U'_i}(q_i, 20\epsilon) < v_1$ too. The hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 have now been checked. That result implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(U'_i)}{\text{vol}(U'_i)}$ exists.

Because Λ is residually finite, there exists a decreasing sequence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of finite-index normal subgroups of Λ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty \Lambda_i = \{e\}$. Note that the covering radius of X/Λ_i tends to infinity as $i \rightarrow \infty$. So Lemma 6.3 implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{X/\Lambda_i} = \mu_\infty$. Theorem 4.1 now implies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(U'_i)}{\text{vol}(U'_i)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(X/\Lambda_i)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda_i)}.$$

Because X is contractible, X/Λ_i is a classifying space for Λ_i , which implies $b_d(X/\Lambda_i) = b_d(\Lambda_i)$. Because X/Λ_i is a $[\Lambda : \Lambda_i]$ -fold cover of X/Λ , it follows that $\text{vol}(X/\Lambda_i) = [\Lambda : \Lambda_i] \text{vol}(X/\Lambda)$. By Theorem 5.1.

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(X/\Lambda_i)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda_i)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(\Lambda_i)}{[\Lambda : \Lambda_i] \text{vol}(X/\Lambda)} = \frac{b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda)}.$$

So we have established:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(U'_i)}{\text{vol}(U'_i)} = \frac{b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda)}.$$

Because U'_i is homologically equivalent to U_i and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(U'_i)}{\text{vol}(U_i)} = 1$, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(U_i)}{\text{vol}(U_i)} = \frac{b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda)}. \quad (8)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_i &= \bigcup \{B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i \setminus M''_i\} \\ S_i^V &= (S_i \setminus M''_i) \cup \{s \in S_i \cap M''_i : B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) \cap W_i \neq \emptyset\} \\ V_i &= \bigcup \{B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i^V\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let K_i be the nerve complex of $\{B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i\}$. Let $K_i^U \subset K_i$ be the nerve complex of $\{B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i \cap M''_i\}$. Similarly, let $K_i^V \subset K_i$ be the nerve complex of $\{B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) : s \in S_i^V\}$.

Because each $B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s))$ is strongly convex (for $s \in S_i$), it follows that any nonempty intersection of such balls is also strongly convex and is therefore contractible [Ro70]. By [Ha02, Corollary 4G.3], this implies K_i is homotopic to X/Γ''_i , K_i^U is homotopic to U_i and K_i^V is homotopic to V_i . Therefore, $b_d(K_i) = b_d(X/\Gamma''_i) = b_d(\Gamma''_i)$ (since X/Γ''_i is a classifying space for Γ''_i since X is contractible), $b_d(K_i^U) = b_d(U_i)$ and $b_d(K_i^V) = b_d(V_i)$.

We claim that $K_i^U \cup K_i^V = K_i$. To see this suppose $T \subset S_i$ spans a simplex in K_i . Then either $T \subset K_i^U$ or there exists $s \in T \setminus M''_i$. For any $t \in T$, $B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(t, \rho(t)) \cap B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) \neq \emptyset$. Since $B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) \subset W_i$, this implies $t \in S_i^V$. Since t is arbitrary, the simplex spanning T is contained in K_i^V . Since T is arbitrary, $K_i^U \cup K_i^V = K_i$.

The Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V) \rightarrow H_d(K_i^U) \oplus H_d(K_i^V) \rightarrow H_d(K_i) \rightarrow \cdots$$

implies

$$b_d(U_i) = b_d(K_i^U) \leq b_d(K_i) + b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V) = b_d(\Gamma''_i) + b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V). \quad (9)$$

If $s \in M''_i$ and $Z \subset B_{M''_i}^o(s, 20\epsilon)$ is any ϵ -separated subset then because

$$v_1 > \text{vol}(B_{M''_i}^o(q, 20\epsilon)) \geq \text{vol}(B_{M''_i}^o(q, \epsilon/2)) > v_0 > 0$$

for every $q \in M''_i$, we must have $v_0|Z| \leq v_1$. So $|Z| \leq v_1/v_0$. So setting $\Delta := v_1/v_0$, we see that the degree of any vertex of K_i^U is at most Δ . So $b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V)$ is at most the number

of d -simplices in $K_i^U \cap K_i^V$ which is at most the number of vertices of $K_i^U \cap K_i^V$ multiplied by $\binom{\Delta}{d}$. The vertex set of $K_i^U \cap K_i^V$ is $S'_i = \{s \in S_i \cap M''_i : B_{X/\Gamma''_i}^o(s, \rho(s)) \cap W_i \neq \emptyset\}$. So

$$b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V) \leq |S'_i| \binom{\Delta}{d}.$$

Note S'_i is contained in the 20ϵ -neighborhood of $\partial M''_i$. Because S'_i is ϵ -separated and each $(\epsilon/2)$ -ball has volume at least v_0 (for some $v_0 > 0$ independent of i), we have $|S'_i|v_0 \leq \text{vol}(N_{20\epsilon}(\partial M''_i))$. So

$$b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V) \leq v_0^{-1} \text{vol}(N_{20\epsilon}(\partial M''_i)) \binom{\Delta}{d}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V)}{\text{vol}(U_i)} &\leq \binom{\Delta}{d} v_0^{-1} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_{20\epsilon}(\partial M''_i))}{\text{vol}(U_i)} \\ &\leq \binom{\Delta}{d} v_0^{-1} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{vol}(N_{20\epsilon}(\partial M''_i))}{\text{vol}(M''_i)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.2, the fact that $M''_i \subset U_i$ and equations (8,9) and now imply

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma'_i)}{\text{vol}(M'_i)} &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(\Gamma''_i)}{\text{vol}(M''_i)} \geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(\Gamma''_i)}{\text{vol}(U_i)} \\ &\geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_d(U_i)}{\text{vol}(U_i)} - \frac{b_d(K_i^U \cap K_i^V)}{\text{vol}(U_i)} = \frac{b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda)}{\text{vol}(X/\Lambda)} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\widehat{b}_d(\Gamma'_i) > 0$ for all but finitely many i . This implies the theorem. \square

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2. We will need the following lemma to smooth out the Cheeger submanifolds of X/Γ .

Lemma 7.3 (Hair-cutting Lemma). *Let M be an infinite volume complete Riemannian n -manifold. Suppose there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the Ricci curvature of M is at least $-\delta^2(n-1)$ (everywhere). Suppose as well that $h(M) < 1$. Then there exist a pathwise connected compact subset $M'' \subset M$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for every $R > 0$*

$$\frac{\text{vol}(N_R(\partial M''))}{\text{vol}(M'')} \leq f(R)h(M). \quad (10)$$

Moreover f depends only on δ and $\dim(M)$.

Proof. This is contained in Lemma 7.2 of [Bu82] except in one detail: M'' is not required to be pathwise connected. However, a small perturbation of the proof yields a pathwise connected subset. To explain this, let us recall the construction of M' from [Bu82]. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and A be a smooth compact submanifold of M with

$$\frac{\text{area}(\partial A)}{\text{vol}(A)} \leq h(M)(1 + \epsilon).$$

Let $r > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant (how small depends only on the dimension). Let

$$M' = \{p \in M : \text{vol}(A \cap B_M(p, r)) > (1/2)\text{vol}(B_M(p, r))\}.$$

Note that $\partial M' = \{p \in M : \text{vol}(A \cap B_M(p, r)) = (1/2)\text{vol}(B_M(p, r))\}$.

[In Buser's notation, $B_M(p, r)$ is denoted by $U(p, r)$, M' is denoted by \tilde{A} , $\partial M'$ is denoted by \tilde{X} , $N_t(\partial M')$ is denoted by \tilde{X}^t , $\frac{\text{area}(\partial A)}{\text{vol}(A)}$ is denoted by \mathcal{H} .]

Let K_1, \dots, K_m be the components of M' . Observe that

$$\frac{\text{area}(\partial A)}{\text{vol}(A \cap M')} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\text{area}(\partial A \cap K_i)}{\text{vol}(K_i \cap A)} \frac{\text{vol}(K_i \cap A)}{\text{vol}(A \cap M')}.$$

In particular, $\frac{\text{area}(\partial A)}{\text{vol}(A \cap M')}$ is a convex sum of $\frac{\text{area}(\partial A \cap K_i)}{\text{vol}(K_i \cap A)}$. So there exists a component K_i such that

$$\frac{\text{area}(\partial A \cap K_i)}{\text{vol}(K_i \cap A)} \leq \frac{\text{area}(\partial A)}{\text{vol}(A \cap M')} \leq (1 + \epsilon)h(M) \frac{\text{vol}(A)}{\text{vol}(A \cap M')}.$$

According to [Bu82, equations 4.6, 4.9], $\text{vol}(A \cap M') \geq c \text{vol}(A)$ where $c = 1 - \frac{4\mathcal{H}\beta(4r)}{j(r)\beta(r)} \geq 1/2$ (in Buser's notation). Therefore,

$$\frac{\text{area}(\partial A \cap K_i)}{\text{vol}(K_i \cap A)} \leq \frac{\text{area}(\partial A)}{\text{vol}(A \cap M')} \leq 2(1 + \epsilon)h(M).$$

Let M'' be the closure of K_i . It is now possible to replace M' with M'' in the proof of [Bu82, Lemma 7.2] (which is mostly contained in §4 of [Bu82]) to conclude that M'' satisfies (10). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Because X/Λ is compact, [Ch93, Theorem 7.9] implies that there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that every ball of radius $\leq 10\epsilon$ in X is strongly convex. So Theorem 7.1 implies $I_r(X|\mathcal{G}_d) > 0$ for some $r > 0$. Lemma 7.3 implies that if $I(X|\mathcal{G}_d) < 1$ then $I_r(X|\mathcal{G}_d) \leq f(r)I(X|\mathcal{G}_d)$ for some function f which depends only on the dimension of X and a lower bound on its Ricci curvature. Thus $I(X|\mathcal{G}_d) > 0$. □

8 Applications

In this section we prove Corollary 1.3. The starting point is:

Lemma 8.1. *If Λ is a lattice in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^{2n})$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $b_n^{(2)}(\Lambda) > 0$.*

Proof. This is contained in [Lu02, Theorem 5.12]. □

Remark 6. [Lu02, Theorem 5.12] also shows that if $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is a lattice then $b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda) = 0$ unless $d = n/2$ is an integer.

It now suffices to show:

Proposition 8.2. *If Γ is a torsion-free lattice in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ then $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_d$ for all $d > 1$.*

Proof. The fact that Γ is residually finite is well-known: Γ is linear (since it is a subgroup of $SO(3, 1)$) and all finitely generated linear groups are residually finite by [Ma40]. Let $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ be finitely generated. Observe that Γ' is the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold (namely \mathbb{H}^3/Γ'). By the Scott Core Theorem [Sc73], Γ' has a finite classifying space. By Lück's approximation Theorem 5.1, it suffices to show that $b_d^{(2)}(\Gamma') = 0$ for all $d > 1$. This is handled in Lemma 8.9 below. In fact, we will prove something stronger: that Γ is almost treeable, as defined next. □

Definition 24 (Treeability and almost treeability). Let Γ be a countable discrete group. Let $\binom{\Gamma}{2}$ be the set of all unordered pairs of elements in Γ and let $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma) = 2^{\binom{\Gamma}{2}}$ be the set of all subsets of $\binom{\Gamma}{2}$ with the product topology. Because Γ is countable, this means that $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a compact metrizable space (in fact, it is homeomorphic to a Cantor set). Associated to any element $x \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a graph G_x with vertex set Γ and edge set x . Observe that Γ acts on $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ by $gx = \{\{ga, gb\} : \{a, b\} \in x\}$ for $g \in \Gamma, x \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of all $x \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ such that G_x is a forest (i.e., every connected component of G_x is simply connected). Let $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ denote the set of all $x \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ such that G_x is a tree. The action of Γ preserves both $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma)$.

We say Γ is *treeable* if there is a Γ -invariant Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma)$. The group Γ is *almost treeable* if for every finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a Γ -invariant Borel probability measure μ on $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ such that if $x \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ is random with law μ then with

probability $\geq 1 - \epsilon$ the set F is contained in a connected component of G_x . In particular, if Γ is treeable then Γ is almost treeable.

Treeability was introduced in [Ad88] and almost treeability first appeared in [Ga05]. The connection between almost treeability and L^2 -Betti numbers is furnished by:

Lemma 8.3. *If Γ is almost treeable then $b_k^{(2)}(\Gamma) = 0$ for every $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. This is [Ga05, Theorem 0.8]. □

It is technically easier to work in the realm of equivalence relations. So we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 25. Let (X, μ) be a standard Borel probability space and $E \subset X \times X$ a discrete Borel equivalence relation (discrete means that every equivalence class is at most countable). We say that E is *treeable* (mod μ) if there exists a Borel subset $H \subset E$ such that H is symmetric (so $(a, b) \in H \Rightarrow (b, a) \in H$) and the graph G_H with vertex set X and edge set $\{\{a, b\} : (a, b) \in H\}$ is such that for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ the connected component of G_H containing x is a tree spanning the E -class of x .

We say that E is *almost treeable* (mod μ) if there is a sequence $\{H_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of symmetric Borel subsets $H_i \subset E$ such that the corresponding graphs G_{H_i} are forests and for a.e. $x \in X$ and any y in the E -class of x we have that x and y are contained in the same component of H_i for all but finitely many i .

The connection between equivalence relations and groups is given by:

Proposition 8.4. *A group Γ is treeable if and only if there is a free pmp (probability-measure-preserving) action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ such that if E is the orbit-equivalence relation $E = \{(x, gx) : x \in X, g \in \Gamma\}$ then E is treeable (mod μ). Similarly, Γ is almost treeable if and only if there is a free pmp action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ such that the orbit-equivalence relation E is almost treeable (mod μ).*

Proof. In the case of treeability, this is [KM04, Proposition 30.1]. The almost treeable case is similar (and an easy exercise). □

Lemma 8.5. *If Γ is treeable and $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ then Γ' is treeable. Similarly if Γ is almost treeable and $\Gamma' < \Gamma$ then Γ' is almost treeable.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 8.4 and [Ga02, Propositions 5.8 and 5.16]. \square

Lemma 8.6. *Treeability and almost treeability are measure-equivalence invariants. Therefore, if Γ_1, Γ_2 are lattices in a locally compact group G and Γ_1 is almost treeable, then Γ_2 is almost treeable.*

Proof. In the case of treeability this is [Ga02, Proposition 6.5]. Almost treeability is similar. \square

Lemma 8.7. *If Γ is the fundamental group of a surface then Γ is treeable.*

Proof. If Γ is free then this is obvious as the usual Cayley graph of Γ is a tree. If Γ is amenable then this is a well-known consequence of the fact there is a unique hyperfinite II_1 -equivalence relation [OW80] (see also [KM04, Ch III, Proposition 30.1] to see the connection). If Γ is the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus ≥ 2 then Γ is measure-equivalent to a free group since Γ can be realized as a lattice in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ (and so can any finite rank nonamenable free group). Lemma 8.6 now implies Γ is treeable. \square

Lemma 8.8. *Lattices in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ are almost treeable.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda < \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ be a lattice such that \mathbb{H}^3/Λ is a manifold which fibers over a circle with fiber a noncompact surface. It is well-known that such lattices exist (see e.g., [Jo77]). Note that Λ can be expressed as $\Lambda = F_r \rtimes_{\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ where F_r denotes the free group of some rank $r \geq 2$ and $\theta : F_r \rightarrow F_r$ is an automorphism. We can therefore write elements of Λ as pairs (f, n) with $f \in F_r, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ subject to the multiplication rule

$$(f, n)(g, m) = (f\theta^n(g), n + m).$$

Now let $p > 0$ be an integer and let i be a uniformly random integer in $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$.

Let $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\} \subset F_r$ be a free generating set. Let $E_i \in \mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$ be the set containing

- $\{(f, m), (fs_j, m)\}$ for every $f \in F_r, 1 \leq j \leq r$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \mid (m - i)$;
- $\{(f, m), (f, m + 1)\}$ for every $f \in F_r$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \nmid (m - i - 1)$.

Observe that the graph with vertex set Λ and edge set E_i is a forest. Moreover, the law of E_i is an invariant probability measure λ_p on $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$. Finally, for any $(f, n), (g, m) \in \Lambda$ with $n \leq m$, $(f, n), (g, m)$ are in the same connected component of (Λ, E_i) if and only if there does not exist an integer q with $n \leq q < m$ such that $p \nmid (q - i - 1)$. This occurs with probability equal to $\frac{p - |m - n|}{p}$ if $|m - n| \leq p$. In particular, this probability tends to 1 as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This implies Λ is almost treeable.

By Lemma 8.6, it follows that every lattice in $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is almost treeable. \square

Lemma 8.9. *If Γ' is a subgroup of the fundamental group Γ of a complete finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifold then $b_d^{(2)}(\Gamma') = 0$ for every $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. This is true because Γ is almost treeable by Lemma 8.8, every subgroup of an almost treeable group is almost treeable by Lemma 8.5 and any almost treeable group Λ has $b_d^{(2)}(\Lambda) = 0$ for every $d \geq 2$ by Lemma 8.3. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. This follows from Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 8.2. \square

A Pointed subsets and measures of a metric space

The purpose of this appendix is to prove Theorem 3.1. We begin by studying pointed measures and pointed subspaces of a given metric space Z and their limits.

Definition 26. A *pointed measure* on a topological space Z is a pair (μ, p) where $p \in Z$ and μ is a Borel measure on Z . A *pointed subset* of Z is a pair (X, p) where $X \subset Z$ and $p \in Z$.

Definition 27. Given a subset F of a metric space Z , let $N_Z^\circ(F, \epsilon)$ denote the open ϵ -neighborhood of F in Z .

Definition 28. We say that two pointed measures $(\mu_1, p_1), (\mu_2, p_2)$ on a metric space Z are (ϵ, R) -related if for every closed $F_i \subset B_Z(p_i, R)$,

$$\mu_1(F_1) < \mu_2(N_Z^\circ(F_1, \epsilon)) + \epsilon, \quad \mu_2(F_2) < \mu_1(N_Z^\circ(F_2, \epsilon)) + \epsilon$$

and $\text{dist}_Z(p_1, p_2) < \epsilon$. We say two pointed subsets $(X_1, p_1), (X_2, p_2)$ of Z are (ϵ, R) -related if $\text{dist}_Z(p_1, p_2) < \epsilon$ and

$$B_Z(p_1, R) \cap X_1 \subset N_Z^\circ(X_2, \epsilon), \quad B_Z(p_2, R) \cap X_2 \subset N_Z^\circ(X_1, \epsilon).$$

A sequence $\{(X_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of pointed closed subsets of Z converges to (X_∞, p_∞) in the *pointed Hausdorff topology* if for every $\epsilon, R > 0$, there is an I such that $i > I$ implies (X_i, p_i) and (X_∞, p_∞) are (ϵ, R) -related.

Lemma A.1. *If pointed measures $(\mu_1, p_1), (\mu_2, p_2)$ are (ϵ_1, R_1) -related and $(\mu_2, p_2), (\mu_3, p_3)$ are (ϵ_2, R_2) -related then $(\mu_1, p_1), (\mu_3, p_3)$ are $(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, R_3)$ -related where $R_3 = \min\{R_1 - 2\epsilon_2, R_2 - 2\epsilon_1\}$. Similarly, if $(X_1, p_1), (X_2, p_2)$ are (ϵ_1, R_1) -related pointed subsets and $(X_2, p_2), (X_3, p_3)$ are (ϵ_2, R_2) -related pointed subsets then $(X_1, p_1), (X_3, p_3)$ are $(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, R_3)$ -related.*

Proof. Let $F \subset B_Z(p_1, R_3) \subset B_Z(p_1, R_1)$ be closed. Then

$$N_Z^o(F, \epsilon_1) \subset B_Z(p_1, R_3 + \epsilon_1) \subset B_Z(p_2, R_3 + 2\epsilon_1) \subset B_Z(p_2, R_2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(F) &< \mu_2(N_Z^o(F, \epsilon_1)) + \epsilon_1 < \mu_3(N_Z^o(N_Z^o(F, \epsilon_1), \epsilon_2)) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \\ &\leq \mu_3(N_Z^o(F, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)) + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

The other inequality is similar. The result for pointed subsets is similar. \square

Lemma A.2. *Let Z be a proper metric space. Let (μ_i, p_i) (for $1 \leq i \leq \infty$) be pointed Radon measures of Z with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_i = p_\infty$. Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i = \mu_\infty$ in the weak* topology if and only if for every $\epsilon, R > 0$ there exists I such that $i > I$ implies (μ_i, p_i) and (μ_∞, p_∞) are (ϵ, R) -related.*

Proof. Suppose $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i = \mu_\infty$ in the weak* topology. Let $\epsilon, R > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset C_c(Z)$ be a finite set such that for every compact subset $F \subset B_Z(p_\infty, R + \epsilon)$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $g = 1$ on F , $g = 0$ on the complement of $N_Z^o(F, \epsilon)$ and $0 \leq g \leq 1$ on all of Z . To see that such a set exists, let \mathcal{O} be any finite open cover of $B_Z(p_\infty, R + \epsilon)$ by open balls of radius $< \epsilon$. Let $\mathcal{F}' = \{g_U : U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to \mathcal{O} . Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all sums of the form $\sum \{g_U : U \in \mathcal{O}'\}$ over all subsets $\mathcal{O}' \subset \mathcal{O}$. If $F \subset B_Z(p_\infty, R + \epsilon)$ is compact and $g = \sum \{g_U : U \in \mathcal{O}, U \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ then $g = 1$ on F , $0 \leq g \leq 1$ and $g = 0$ on the complement of $N_Z^o(F, \epsilon)$ as required.

Let I be large enough so that $i > I$ implies $\text{dist}_Z(p_i, p_\infty) < \epsilon$ and $|\mu_i(g) - \mu_\infty(g)| < \epsilon$ for all $g \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $F \subset B_Z(p_i, R)$ be closed. Then $F \subset B_Z(p_\infty, R + \epsilon)$. So there exists $g \in \mathcal{F}$ as

above. Observe that

$$\mu_i(F) \leq \int g \, d\mu_i < \epsilon + \int g \, d\mu_\infty \leq \epsilon + \mu_\infty(N_Z^o(F, \epsilon)).$$

Similarly, if $F \subset B_Z(p_\infty, R)$ then

$$\mu_\infty(F) \leq \int g \, d\mu_\infty < \epsilon + \int g \, d\mu_i \leq \epsilon + \mu_i(N_Z^o(F, \epsilon)).$$

This shows that μ_i, μ_∞ are (ϵ, R) -related.

Now suppose that for every $\epsilon, R > 0$ there exists I such that $i > I$ implies (μ_i, p_i) and (μ_∞, p_∞) are (ϵ, R) -related. Then there exist sequences $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty, \{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = +\infty$ and (μ_i, p_i) and (μ_∞, R_∞) are (ϵ_i, R_i) -related.

Claim 1. For any compact $S \subset Z$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(N_Z^o(S, \epsilon_i)) = \mu_\infty(S).$$

Proof of Claim 1. For all sufficiently large i , $S \subset B_Z(p_i, R_i - \epsilon_i) \cap B_Z(p_\infty, R_i - \epsilon_i)$. So

$$\mu_\infty(S) \leq \mu_i(N_Z^o(S, \epsilon_i)) + \epsilon_i \leq \mu_\infty(N_Z^o(S, 2\epsilon_i)) + 2\epsilon_i.$$

By taking the limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, the claim follows. This uses the fact that $\mu_\infty(N_Z^o(S, 2\epsilon_i))$ is finite for all sufficiently large i which is true because μ_∞ is Radon and Z is proper. \square

Now let f be a real-valued compactly supported continuous function on Z . It suffices to show that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i(f) = \mu_\infty(f)$. Let S denote the support of f and for $\alpha < \beta$ let

$$F(\alpha, \beta) = \{x \in Z : \alpha \leq f(x) \leq \beta\} \cap S.$$

Let $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=1}^r$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $\alpha_1 < \min\{f(x) : x \in Z\} < \alpha_2 < \dots < \max\{f(x) : x \in Z\} < \alpha_r$ and $\mu_\infty(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_t)) = 0$ for every $t = 1 \dots r$.

By Claim 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int f \, d\mu_i &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \alpha_{t+1} \mu_i(N_Z^o(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t+1}), \epsilon_i)) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \alpha_{t+1} \mu_\infty(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t+1})) \leq \left(\sup_{1 \leq t < r} \alpha_{t+1} - \alpha_t \right) \mu_\infty(S) + \int f \, d\mu_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We now minimize over all such sequences $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=1}^r$ to obtain $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_i \leq \int f d\mu_\infty$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_i \\
& \geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \int_{N_Z^o(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t+1}), \epsilon_i)} f d\mu_i - 2\|f\|_\infty \sum_{t=1}^r \mu_i(N_Z^o(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_t), \epsilon_i)) \\
& = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \int_{N_Z^o(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t+1}), \epsilon_i)} f d\mu_i \\
& \geq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \alpha_t \mu_i(N_Z^o(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t+1}), \epsilon_i)) = \sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \alpha_t \mu_\infty(F(\alpha_t, \alpha_{t+1})) \\
& \geq -(\sup_{1 \leq t < r} \alpha_{t+1} - \alpha_t) \mu_\infty(S) + \int f d\mu_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Maximizing over all such sequences $\{\alpha_t\}_{t=1}^r$ and combining with the previous inequality, we obtain $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_i = \int f d\mu_\infty$. Because f is arbitrary, this implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i = \mu_\infty$ as required. \square

B Metric measure spaces

We can now define (ϵ, R) -related pointed mm^n -spaces. This will allow us to define open neighborhoods in \mathbb{M}^n .

Definition 29 ((ϵ, R) -related mm^n -spaces). We say that mm^n spaces $(M_1, p_1), (M_2, p_2)$ are (ϵ, R) -related if there exist a metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ such that

- $(\varphi_1(M_1), \varphi_1(p_1)), (\varphi_2(M_2), \varphi_2(p_2))$ are (ϵ, R) -related as pointed subsets of Z ;
- for every $k = 1 \dots n$, $((\varphi_1)_* \text{vol}_{M_1}^{(k)}, \varphi_1(p_1))$ and $((\varphi_2)_* \text{vol}_{M_2}^{(k)}, \varphi_2(p_2))$ are (ϵ, R) -related as pointed measures of Z .

Let $N_{\epsilon, R}(M, p)$ denote the set of all $[M', p'] \in \mathbb{M}^n$ such that (M', p') is (ϵ', R') -related to (M, p) for some $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ and $R' > R$. We show below that this is an open set.

Definition 30. A *pseudo-metric* d on a set X is a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying all the properties of a metric with one exception: it may happen that $d(x, y) = 0$ even if $x \neq y$.

Lemma B.1. *Let Z be a set equal to a disjoint union $Z = \sqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$ of its subsets M_i . Suppose that for each i there is a metric dist_{M_i} on M_i and there is a collection $\{L_j\}_{j \in J}$ of subsets $L_j \subset Z$ and for each j there is a pseudo-metric dist_{L_j} on L_j . Suppose as well that if $x, y \in L_j \cap M_i$ for some i, j then $\text{dist}_{M_i}(x, y) = \text{dist}_{L_j}(x, y)$. Lastly, we assume that for any $x, y \in Z$ there is a sequence $x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n = y$ such that for each i either $x_i, x_{i+1} \in M_k$ for some k or $x_i, x_{i+1} \in L_j$ for some j . Then there is a pseudo-metric dist_Z on Z such that*

- $\text{dist}_Z(x, y) = \text{dist}_{M_i}(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in M_i$, for any i ;
- $\text{dist}_Z(x, y) \leq \text{dist}_{L_j}(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in L_j$ for any j .

Proof. For each $x, y \in Z$ we define $\text{dist}_Z(x, y) = \inf \sum_{k=1}^r \text{dist}_{N_k}(x_k, x_{k+1})$ where the infimum is over all sequences $x = x_1, \dots, x_r = y$ and choices $N_k \in \{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup \{L_j\}_{j \in J}$ such that $x_k, x_{k+1} \in N_k$ for all $1 \leq k < r$. It is easy to check that the conclusions hold. \square

Lemma B.2. *Suppose that $\{(M_i, p_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of mm^n spaces such that (M_i, p_i) and (M_j, p_j) are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related for all i, j (where ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij} are positive real numbers). Then there exist a complete separable metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ such that for all i, j, k*

- $(\varphi_i(M_i), \varphi_i(p_i)), (\varphi_j(M_j), \varphi_j(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related as pointed subsets of Z ;
- $((\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)}, \varphi_i(p_i))$ and $((\varphi_j)_* \text{vol}_{M_j}^{(k)}, \varphi_j(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related as pointed measures of Z .

Proof. For each i, j , there exist a complete separable metric space Y_{ij} and isometric embeddings $\phi_{ij} : M_i \rightarrow Y_{ij}, \psi_{ij} : M_j \rightarrow Y_{ij}$ such that

- $(\phi_{ij}(M_i), \phi_{ij}(p_i)), (\psi_{ij}(M_j), \psi_{ij}(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related as pointed subsets of Y_{ij} ;
- $((\phi_{ij})_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)}, \phi_{ij}(p_i))$ and $((\psi_{ij})_* \text{vol}_{M_j}^{(k)}, \psi_{ij}(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related as pointed measures of Y_{ij} for every k .

Let Z' be the disjoint union of M_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). By Lemma B.1 there exists a pseudo-metric $\text{dist}_{Z'}$ on Z' satisfying:

- If $x, x' \in M_i \subset Z'$ then $\text{dist}_{Z'}(x, x') = \text{dist}_{M_i}(x, x')$.

- If $x_i \in M_i, x_j \in M_j$, then $\text{dist}_{Z'}(x_i, x_j) \leq \text{dist}_{Y_{ij}}(\phi_{ij}(x_i), \psi_{ij}(x_j))$.

This induces an equivalence relation on Z' by: $x \sim y$ if $\text{dist}_{Z'}(x, y) = 0$. Let $Z'' = Z' / \sim$ with the metric $\text{dist}_{Z''}([x], [y]) = \text{dist}_{Z'}(x, y)$. Let (Z, dist_Z) be the metric completion of $(Z'', \text{dist}_{Z''})$. For each i there is a canonical isometric embedding $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ and the union of the images of these embeddings is dense in Z . So Z is separable.

For any i, j , there is a map $\pi_{ij} : \phi_{ij}(M_i) \cup \psi_{ij}(M_j) \rightarrow Z$ such that $\pi_{ij}(\phi_{ij}(x_i)) = \varphi_i(x_i)$ if $x_i \in M_i$ and $\pi_{ij}(\psi_{ij}(x_j)) = \varphi_j(x_j)$ if $x_j \in M_j$. This map is distance non-increasing: $\text{dist}_{Y_{ij}}(x, y) \geq \text{dist}_Z(\pi_{ij}(x), \pi_{ij}(y))$. Since $((\phi_{ij})_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)}, \phi_{ij}(p_i))$ and $((\psi_{ij})_* \text{vol}_{M_j}^{(k)}, \psi_{ij}(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related this implies $((\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)}, \varphi_i(p_i))$ and $((\varphi_j)_* \text{vol}_{M_j}^{(k)}, \varphi_j(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related. Similarly, $(\varphi_i(M_i), \varphi_i(p_i)), (\varphi_j(M_j), \varphi_j(p_j))$ are (ϵ_{ij}, R_{ij}) -related as required. \square

Lemma B.3. *If $(M_1, p_1), (M_2, p_2)$ are (ϵ_1, R_1) -related and $(M_2, p_2), (M_3, p_3)$ are (ϵ_2, R_2) -related then $(M_1, p_1), (M_3, p_3)$ are $(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, R_3)$ -related where $R_3 = \min(R_1 - 2\epsilon_2, R_2 - 2\epsilon_1)$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas B.2 and A.1. \square

Proposition B.4. *A sequence $\{[M_i, p_i]\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{M}^n$ converges to $[M_\infty, p_\infty] \in \mathbb{M}^n$ if and only if for every $\epsilon, R > 0$ there exists an I such that $i > I$ implies (M_i, p_i) is (ϵ, R) -related to (M_∞, p_∞) .*

Proof. Suppose $\{[M_i, p_i]\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{M}^n$ converges to $[M_\infty, p_\infty] \in \mathbb{M}^n$. By definition, this means there exist a complete separable proper metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ such that $(\varphi_i(M_i), \varphi_i(p_i))$ converges to $(\varphi_\infty(M_\infty), \varphi_\infty(p_\infty))$ in the pointed Hausdorff topology and $(\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)}$ converges to $(\varphi_\infty)_* \text{vol}_{M_\infty}^{(k)}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The proposition now follows from Lemma A.2.

Let us now assume for every $\epsilon, R > 0$ there exists I such that $i > I$ implies (M_i, p_i) is (ϵ, R) -related to (M_∞, p_∞) . By Lemma B.3 this implies that for any $i, j > I$, (M_i, p_i) and (M_j, p_j) are $(2\epsilon, R - 2\epsilon)$ -related. So there exist positive real numbers ϵ_i, R_i such that

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i = 0, \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = +\infty$;
- $(M_i, p_i), (M_j, p_j)$ are (ϵ_i, R_i) -related for every $1 \leq i < j \leq \infty$.

By Lemma B.2, there exist a complete separable metric space Z and isometric embeddings $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow Z$ such that for every $1 \leq i < j \leq \infty$

- $(\varphi_i(M_i), \varphi_i(p_i)), (\varphi_j(M_j), \varphi_j(p_j))$ are (ϵ_i, R_i) -related;
- for every $k = 1 \dots n$, $((\varphi_i)_* \text{vol}_{M_i}^{(k)}, \varphi_i(p_i)), ((\varphi_j)_* \text{vol}_{M_j}^{(k)}, \varphi_j(p_j))$ are (ϵ_i, R_i) -related;

By replacing Z with the closure of the images of the M_i 's, we may assume, without loss of generality, that the union $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(M_i)$ is dense in Z . Without loss of generality, we may also assume each $M_i \subset Z$ and φ_i is the inclusion map. This helps simplify notation.

We claim that Z is proper. It suffices to show that every ball centered at p_{∞} is sequentially compact. So let $R > 0$ and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset B_Z(p_{\infty}, R)$. There is a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that for each i , $\text{dist}_Z(x_i, y_i) < 1/i$ and $y_i \in M_{n(i)}$ for some $n(i)$. It suffices to show that a subsequence of $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent. If there is some j such that $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \cap M_j$ is infinite then, since M_j is proper, it follows that there is a convergent subsequence. Otherwise, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} n(i) = +\infty$.

Observe that

$$\text{dist}_Z(p_i, y_i) \leq \text{dist}_Z(p_i, p_{\infty}) + \text{dist}_Z(p_{\infty}, x_i) + \text{dist}_Z(x_i, y_i) \leq \epsilon_{n(i)} + R + 1/i.$$

In other words, $y_i \in B_Z(p_i, R + 1/i + \epsilon_{n(i)})$. If i is large enough then $R_{n(i)} > R + 1/i + \epsilon_{n(i)}$. Because $(M_{n(i)}, p_{n(i)}), (M_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$ are $(\epsilon_{n(i)}, R_{n(i)})$ -related,

$$B_Z(p_i, R + 1/i + \epsilon_{n(i)}) \cap M_{n(i)} \subset N_Z^o(M_{\infty}, \epsilon_{n(i)}).$$

So there exists $z_i \in M_{\infty}$ with $\text{dist}_Z(y_i, z_i) \leq \epsilon_{n(i)}$. Note

$$\text{dist}_Z(z_i, p_{\infty}) \leq \text{dist}_Z(z_i, y_i) + \text{dist}_Z(y_i, x_i) + \text{dist}_Z(x_i, p_{\infty}) \leq \epsilon_{n(i)} + 1/i + R.$$

Because M_{∞} is proper this implies $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence. Since $\text{dist}_Z(z_i, x_i) \leq \text{dist}_Z(z_i, y_i) + \text{dist}_Z(y_i, x_i) \leq \epsilon_{n(i)} + 1/i$ tends to zero as $i \rightarrow \infty$, this implies $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence as required.

The proposition now follow from Lemma A.2. □

Lemma B.5. *For any $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}^n$ and $\epsilon, R > 0$, the set $N_{\epsilon, R}(M, p) \subset \mathbb{M}^n$ is open.*

Proof. Let $\{[M_i, p_i]\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{M}^n \setminus N_{\epsilon, R}(M, p)$ which converges to $[M_{\infty}, p_{\infty}]$. If $[M_{\infty}, p_{\infty}] \in N_{\epsilon, R}(M, p)$ then there is an $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ and $R' > R$ such that (M_{∞}, p_{∞}) and (M, p) are (ϵ', R') -related. Choose $\epsilon'', R'' > 0$ so that $\epsilon'' + \epsilon' < \epsilon$ and $R < \min(R' - 2\epsilon'', R'' - 2\epsilon')$. By Proposition B.4, there is an i such that (M_i, p_i) and (M_{∞}, p_{∞}) are (ϵ'', R'') -related. Lemma

B.3 now implies $[M_i, p_i] \in N_{\epsilon, R}(M, p)$. This contradiction proves that the complement of $N_{\epsilon, R}(M, p)$ is closed. \square

We can now prove Theorem 3.1 which states \mathbb{M}^n is separable and metrizable.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. First we show \mathbb{M}^n is metrizable. For $[M, p], [M', p'] \in \mathbb{M}^n$, let

$$\rho([M, p], [M', p']) = \inf \epsilon + \frac{1}{R + 2\epsilon}$$

where the infimum is over all $\epsilon, R > 0$ such that $[M, p]$ and $[M', p']$ are (ϵ, R) -related. In order to check the triangle inequality, let $[M_i, p_i] \in \mathbb{M}^n$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) and suppose $(M_1, p_1), (M_2, p_2)$ are (ϵ_1, R_1) -related and $(M_2, p_2), (M_3, p_3)$ are (ϵ_2, R_2) -related for some $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, R_1, R_2 > 0$. By Lemma B.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho([M_1, p_1], [M_3, p_3]) &\leq \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{\min\{R_1 - 2\epsilon_2, R_2 - 2\epsilon_1\} + 2\epsilon_1 + 2\epsilon_2} \\ &= \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{\min\{R_1 + 2\epsilon_1, R_2 + 2\epsilon_2\}} \\ &\leq \left(\epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{R_1 + 2\epsilon_1} \right) + \left(\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{R_2 + 2\epsilon_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By minimizing the right-hand side over all $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, R_1, R_2$ such that $(M_1, p_1), (M_2, p_2)$ are (ϵ_1, R_1) -related and $(M_2, p_2), (M_3, p_3)$ are (ϵ_2, R_2) -related, we see that ρ satisfies the triangle inequality. It is therefore a metric on \mathbb{M}^n . It is continuous by Lemma B.5. So \mathbb{M}^n is metrizable.

To show that \mathbb{M}^n is separable, let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ be the set of all $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}^n$ such that M is a finite set, and $\text{dist}_M, \text{vol}_M^{(1)}, \dots, \text{vol}_M^{(n)}$ are rational-valued. Note $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ is countable. We claim that $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ is dense in \mathbb{M}^n . Let \mathbb{F}^n be the set of all $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}^n$ such that M is finite. An exercise shows that the closure of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ contains \mathbb{F}^n . So it suffices to show that \mathbb{F}^n is dense in \mathbb{M}^n . For this purpose, let $[M, p] \in \mathbb{M}^n$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{F}}(M)$ denote the set of all measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ with finite support. It is well-known that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{F}}(M)$ is dense in the space of Radon measures on M in the weak* topology. So there exist measures $\mu_i^{(k)} \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{F}}(M)$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i^{(k)} = \text{vol}_M^{(k)}$ for every k . Let X_i be a finite subset of M containing $\{p\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^n \text{supp}(\mu_i^{(k)})$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ is dense in M . We may regard (X_i, p) as an mm^n -space with distance dist_{X_i} equal to the restriction of dist_M to X_i and measures $\text{vol}_{X_i}^{(k)}$ equal to $\mu_i^{(k)}$. By definition $[X_i, p]$ converges to $[M, p]$ in \mathbb{M}^n as $i \rightarrow \infty$. So \mathbb{F}^n and therefore $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$ is dense in \mathbb{M}^n as claimed. \square

References

- [AB+12] Abért, M.; Bergeron, N.; Biringer, I.; Gelander, T.; Nikolov, N.; Raimbault, J.; Samet, I. On the growth of L^2 -invariants for sequences of lattices in Lie groups. arXiv:1210.2961
- [Ad88] Adams, S. Indecomposability of treed equivalence relations. *Israel J. Math.* **64** (1988), no. 3, 362–380.
- [AL07] Aldous, D.; Lyons, R. Processes on unimodular random networks, *Electron. J. Probab.* **12** (2007), no. 54, 1454–1508.
- [AS90] Adams, S.; Spatzier, R. Kazhdan groups, cocycles and trees. *Amer. J. Math.*, **112**(2):271–287, 1990.
- [BJ97] Bishop, C. J.; Jones, P. W. Hausdorff dimension and Kleinian groups. *Acta Math.* **179** (1997), no. 1, 1–39.
- [Bo48] Borsuk, K. On the imbedding of systems of compacta in simplicial complexes. *Fund. Math.* **35**, (1948), 217–234.
- [BS01] Benjamini, I.; Schramm, O. Recurrence of distributional limits of finite planar graphs. *Electron. J. Probab.* **6** (2001), no. 23, 13 pp. (electronic).
- [Bu82] Buser, P. A note on the isoperimetric constant. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, Paris **15** (1982), 213–230.
- [Ch69] Cheeger, J. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian, *Problems in analysis* (Papers dedicated to Salomon Bochner, 1969), pp 195–199, Princeton University Press.
- [Ch93] Chavel, I. Riemannian Geometry: A Modern Introduction. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [CI99] Corlette, K; Iozzi, A. Limit sets of discrete groups of isometries of exotic hyperbolic spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), no. 4, 1507–1530.
- [Co90] Corlette, K. Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets I. *Invent. Math.* **102** (1990), no. 3, 521–541.

- [Do88] Doyle, P. G. On the bass note of a Schottky group. *Acta Math.* **160** (1988), no. 3-4, 249–284.
- [El10] Elek, G. Betti numbers are testable. *Fete of combinatorics and computer science, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, **20**, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2010, 139–149.
- [El12] Elek, G. personal communication, 2012.
- [Ga02] Gaboriau, D. Invariants l2 de relations d’équivalence et de groupes. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* No. **95** (2002), 93–150.
- [Ga05] Gaboriau, D. Invariant percolation and harmonic Dirichlet functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2005), no. 5, 1004–1051.
- [Gr99] Gromov, M. Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces, Birkhäuser Boston, 1999.
- [Ha02] Hatcher, A. Algebraic topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. xii+544 pp
- [Ha13] Hamenstädt, U. Talk at MSRI, March 2013.
- [Jo77] Jørgensen, T. Compact 3-manifolds of constant negative curvature fibering over the circle. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **106** (1977), no. 1, 61–72.
- [Ka08] Kapovich, M. Kleinian groups in higher dimensions. Geometry and dynamics of groups and spaces, 487–564, *Progr. Math.*, **265**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [KM04] Kechris, A. S.; Miller, B. D. Topics in orbit equivalence. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1852. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. x+134 pp.
- [Lu94] Lück, W. Approximating L2-invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues. *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **4**, 455–481 (1994).
- [Lu02] Lück, W. L^2 -Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [Lu09] Lück, W. L2-invariants from the algebraic point of view. *Geometric and cohomological methods in group theory*, 63–161, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, **358**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.

- [Ma40] A. I. Mal'cev. *On faithful representations of infinite groups of matrices*. Mat. Sb. 8, 405-422 (1940). = Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 45, 1-18 (1965).
- [OW80] Ornstein, D.S.; Weiss, B. Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.) **2** (1980), no. 1, 161–164.
- [PS85] Phillips, R. S.; Sarnak, P. The Laplacian for domains in hyperbolic space and limit sets of Kleinian groups. *Acta Math.* **155** (1985), no. 3-4, 173–241.
- [Ro70] Rolfsen, D. Characterizing the 3-cell by its metric. *Fund. Math.* **68** (1970), 215–223.
- [Sc73] Scott, P. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*. Second Series **7** (2) (1973), 246–250.
- [Su87] Sullivan, D. Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* **25** (1987), 327–351.